



Facilitators and Barriers to Retention in HIV Care among HIV Infected MSM Attending Community Health Center Yaba, Lagos Nigeria

**Daniel Chineneike Offie ^a, Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu ^{b*}, Chiedozi Akueshi ^a,
Jean E. Njab ^c, Ekanem E. Ekanem ^d, Paul Nnoaharam Dike ^e
and Daniel Nnaoma Oguh ^f**

^a APIN Public Health Initiatives, Plot 225, Ikeja Avenue, Adere Falegan, Ilawe Road, Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria.

^b Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

^c Jean E. Njab- Population Council, Abuja, Nigeria.

^d Community Health Department, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Nigeria.

^e HJF Medical Research International, Ltd/Gte, 7 Usman Street, Maitama, Abuja, Nigeria.

^f Block 3 OGD Estate, Adjacent Rockview Estate, Olusegun Obasanjo Hiltop GRA, Oke Mosan Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i52B33593

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. R. Deveswaran, M.S. Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, India.

(2) Dr. Giuseppe Murdaca, University of Genoa, Italy.

Reviewers:

(1) Mehmet Sarier, Istinye University, Turkey.

(2) Rupa Arun Korde, Shri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara University, India.

Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77227>

Original Research Article

Received 08 September 2021

Accepted 16 November 2021

Published 30 November 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: The burden of HIV infection among men who have sex with men (MSM) has posed a huge public health threat to the developing country like Nigeria. Identification of effective strategies to improve their retention in HIV care especially for young HIV infected MSM is critical for overall success in scaling down the national burden of HIV/AIDS. The aim of this study was to assess the facilitators and barriers to retention in HIV care among HIV infected MSM attending community health center, Yaba Lagos Nigeria.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: emmanuelobeagu@yahoo.com;

Methods: A two months (May –June,2016) exploratory qualitative research study was conducted among 181 respondents enrolled into HIV care within 12 months that attend community health center, Yaba Lagos Nigeria. A pretested and semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect information on the specific facilitators and barriers to retention in HIV care among respondents. Data entries and analysis were done using SPSS package version 20. Descriptive statistics was used to summarize quantitative variables, and frequency tables were generated for the relevant variables.

Results: The median age (in years) of the participants sampled was 24. The study identified friendly clinic service 44%, having positive relationship with clinic staff 16%, friendly and secured environment 20% as the major facilitators to retention in HIV care while implicating distance 36% and self -denial 21% as major barriers to retention in HIV care among the respondents.

Conclusion: Majority of the respondents were retained in HIV care services due to the available friendly clinic services and conducive environment instituted at the service provision site.

Keywords: Facilitator; barrier; retention; HIV care; HIV; MSM.

1. INTRODUCTION

Successful HIV management requires timely linkage to care, early initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART), adherence to treatment, and retention in care [1]. Research has shown that 17% of men who have sex with men (MSM) are living with HIV in Nigeria [2] and in 12 months follow up study on retention in HIV care conducted in 21 cities in United States, about 64% of MSM were not retained in HIV care [3], underscoring the need to improve linkage and retention in care for this population. Evidence from the behavioral models conducted by Stein et al. [4] for vulnerable population revealed that individual and structural barriers impede retention in HIV care among Men who have sex with men. Studies have revealed that ART adherence by key populations were affected by a combination of individual and structural -level barriers; those who experienced a combination of both individual and structural level barriers simultaneously may experience heightened difficulties in adhering to treatment [5]. At the individual level, multiple cohort and survey studies have revealed that younger age, ethnicity, use of intravenous drugs are associated with poor retention in HIV care [6,7,8,9,10,11].

Conversely, patients receiving case management services and with fewer individual unmet needs are more likely to consistently engage in care than counterparts [12,13]. Also, individual level-factors identified to affecting adherence to medication for MSM not specifically mentioned include not having healthcare insurance, having dropped out of school, homelessness as well as the existence of a mental disorder [14]. It was found that the type of medical insurance could

influence their usage of healthcare services [15]. Those who were in receipt of publicly funded insurance were more likely to discontinue their treatment than those who had no insurance or private medical coverage. The individual's ongoing development of sexual identity and 'coming out' since difficulty with self-acceptance or disclosure may affect the willingness to be retained in care [16]. Homophobia, individual's perception of the need for the care, personal/family resources, community resources, racism, stigma, and discrimination especially when codified by law, social mores, or religious institutions, incarceration, distrust of practitioners, privacy concerns, isolation, fear of disclosure, language barriers, shame and substance abuse, competing life activities such as family responsibilities and work schedule may preclude or limit retention in HIV care [17,18,19,20,21,22]. At the structural level, features of the HIV Clinic and the patient-provider relationship have been implicated as key structural factors that limit retention in care among HIV infected MSM [23]. Studies had revealed that the clinic -level barriers such as transportation problems, and lack of the clinic staff to consistently answer and return phone call impede retention in care [22,24,25], unstable housing conditions and lack of attendance at school acted as barriers to health care retention [14]. Distance to clinic and transportation have been identified as major barriers to retention in HIV care in a wide variety of settings in Africa and Asia. In a study conducted in rural Uganda, 50% of patients lost to follow up were as a result of transportation problem and 42% was attributed to excessive distance [26]. Financial constraint contributed about 34% limiting factor to retention in HIV care in South Africa [27]. Studies have identified possible facilitators such as attaining

self-sufficiency through the development of a positive gay and HIV-positive identity, having a positive provider-patients relationship, and access to transportation as powerful tools that enhance retention in care [18,19,20,21,27]. Several studies have identified that engaging African American and Latino MSM in social support group interactions serves as a powerful force to retaining them in care [28]. Survey conducted among HIV-Infected Ghanaian Men Who have Sex with Men revealed that fear of being seen in HIV-related health facility, financial difficulties, and health system challenges as major barriers while engagement in care included social support, fear of mortality from HIV, knowledge of effectiveness of HIV treatment prior relationship and familiarity with hospital personnel, and positive experience in healthcare setting were identified as major facilitator for retention in HIV care [29]. Evidence had shown that reassurance about health, feeling and looking better, receiving treatment, avoiding infecting others, good relations with healthcare workers, and social support for African American Men Who Have Sex With Men were the key facilitators to healthcare utilization while the barriers included HIV stigma, concerns about confidentiality, negative perceptions of healthcare workers, convenience and availability of testing/treatment facilities, cost, and lack of social support [30].

Care, et al. [31] reported that services tailored to meet multiple patient needs, effective patient-provider communication, and providers who show empathy and respect for their patients were the major motivators for healthcare retention while respondents were less likely to be care-engaged when these factors were absent. Research conducted among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Vietnam by Philbin et.al. [32] showed that lower-income, 'hidden' MSM from rural areas, stigma by the healthcare system, family, and other MSM and healthcare access, economic barriers and lack of MSM-friendly services were the notable barriers to retention in HIV care.

The goal of this study was to assess the facilitators and barriers to retention in HIV care among HIV infected MSM attending community health center, Yaba Lagos Nigeria. An understanding of the barriers and facilitators that may impact the access to retention in HIV care is essential for improving the continuum of care for MSM in Nigeria. Our research suggests the need for multiple strategies to reach diverse

types of MSM as well as to address barriers in access to health services such as stigma and costs. Exploring the MSM specific factors that affect their retention in HIV care will go a long way in providing a good insight on how to retain them in care and invariably cut down the spread of HIV infection not only among MSM but also to the general population.

2. METHODS

2.1 Sampling Method

A two months (May –June,2016) exploratory qualitative research study was conducted among 181 respondents enrolled into HIV care within 12 months that attend community health center, Yaba Lagos Nigeria. Both phone interviews and focus groups were administered to the respondents during the study. Researchers had described focus groups as both enabling and constraining for facilitating conversations about sexual behavior and HIV prevention [33]. The interviews and focus groups were conducted by two trained, master's level interviewers with experience working in public health, HIV and with MSM. Phone in-depth interviews lasted approximately 100 minutes and focus groups lasted around 120 minutes. Interviews and focus groups occurred in a private room at the community health center and refreshment was given to compensate for their time. A pretested semi structured interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect the barriers and facilitators' data to Retention in HIV care. A convenience sampling was used to select the respondents; these selected participants was stratified into two age groups (≤ 24 years and ≥ 25 years and above).The inclusion criteria included HIV infected self –reported MSM that have been receiving ART only in at community health center, Yaba Lagos within 12 months with age bracket of bracket of 16 years and above, and able to provide a written informed consent while HIV infected non –MSM less than 16 years and who not consent to the study were excluded.

2.2 Statistical Analysis

Excel sheet was created and data entries from the questionnaire were entered accordingly. After which analysis was done using SPSS version 20. Descriptive statistics was used to summarize quantitative variables. Frequency tables were generated for the relevant variables.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Characteristics of the Respondents

From Table 1, it was revealed that the median age (in years) of the participants sampled was 24. Majority of the populations 47% were within the age bracket of ≤ 24 years, and 53% of them was within the age group of ≥ 25 years. Most of the participants 56% was from Igbo ethnic background, 42% of them was of Yoruba origin and only 2% of the respondents was from Hausa ethnic background. However, majority of the sampled population 86% were predominantly Christians while 14% of them were Muslims. Most of participants 86% were mainly single, 6% married, 4% engaged, 3% separated and 1% of the respondent was cohabitating. It is worthy to

note that all the participants sampled were all males. It was revealed that majority of the participants 34% were Students, followed by Artisans 27%, and Professionals 22%. Also, Civil Servant were 2%, those who were Self-employed was 14%, and Unemployed was 2%. It was shown that most of the participants 81% were bisexual by sexual orientation and 19% of them had sexual preference only for men. Most of the population sampled 72% played both penetrative and receptive sexual role Also while 18% of the participants engaged only in penetrative role, 9% of the respondents preferred receptive role as a sex role preference. It was found that 2% of the participants had no formal education, more than half of the participants 61% had finished their secondary education, 32% of them had tertiary degree, 2% primary and 3% of the respondents had their master degree.

Table 1. Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Variables	Frequency (n = 181)	Percentage (%)	Variables	Frequency (n = 181)	Percentage (%)
Age group (Years)			Occupation		
≤24	85	47	Student	61	33.7
≥25	96	53	Unemployed	4	2.2
Median age	24		Artisan	48	26.5
			Professional	39	21.6
			Civil	4	2.2
			Self-employed	25	13.8
Ethnic group			Sex partner		
Hausa	4	2.2	Both Male & Female	147	81.2
Igbo	101	55.8		34	18.8
Yoruba	76	42			
Religion			Sexual Orientation		
Christianity	156	86.2	Receptive	17	9.4
Muslim	25	13.8	Penetrative	33	18.2
			Both	131	72.4
Marital Status			Educational Level		
Single	156	18.2	None	3	1.7
Married	10	5.5	Primary	4	2.2
Engaged	8	4.4	Secondary	110	60.8
Separated	6	3.3	Tertiary	59	32.6
Cohabitation	1	0.6	Master	5	2.8

Table 2. Facilitators to Retention in HIV care among Respondents

Facilitators Variables	Frequency (n=167)	Percent (%)
Presence of support group	1	0.6
Appointment reminder phone calls	2	1.2
Client-friendly clinic services	73	43.7
Flexible appointment schedule	2	1.2
Free healthcare services and drugs	14	8.4
Friendly and secured environment	34	20.4

Facilitators Variables	Frequency (n=167)	Percent (%)
Good adherence support in place	1	0.6
Having positive relationship with clinic staff	27	16.2
Less clients' waiting time	8	4.8
Provision of confidential healthcare services	2	1.2
Proximity to the clinic	3	1.8

Table 3. Barriers to Retention in HIV care among Respondents

Barriers Variables	Frequency (n = 14)	Percent (%)
Full time job	3	21.4
Death	2	14.3
Distance	5	35.7
Self-denial	3	21.4




Fig. 1. Barriers to retention in HIV care among Respondents

3.2 Facilitators to Retention in HIV Care among Respondents

Out of the total number of 181 enrolled into HIV care, 167 participants were retained in HIV care within 12 months. From Table 2 below, it was found that majority of the participants 44% testified that the major facilitator for their retention in HIV care was the friendly clinic services they have been receiving, 16% was having a positive relationship with clinic staff, and 20% of them attributed it to friendly and secured environment. Similarly, 5% of the respondents identified less clients' waiting times as a source of their motivator, 8% was the availability of free HIV care services and drugs, 2% of the participants said that their continual interest to access HIV care at the study site was as a result of their proximity to the clinic, 1% different participants observed appointment reminder phone calls and provision of confidential healthcare services as reasons for their retention

in care over time. Also, while 0.6% of the participants claimed the availability of support group as retention enhancer, the remaining 0.6% believed to have been retained because of good adherence counseling support received throughout the assessment period.

3.3 Barriers to Retention in HIV Care among Respondents

Of the total number of 181 recruited, 14 participants that were lost to follow up. Out of the 14 respondents, 3 (21%) of the participants attributed the reason to full time job, 2 (14%) of them missed out because of death that snatched them away during the assessment period. Similarly, most of the participant 5 (36%) attributed distance as a major barrier to retention in HIV care, 3 (21%) self-denial and another 1 (7%) of them trust in the efficacy of herbal medication.

4. DISCUSSION

Of 167 participants retained in HIV care for one year, majority of the participants 44% ascribed friendly clinic services they have been receiving and 16% of them attributed having a positive relationship with clinic staff as strong facilitators to their retention in HIV care. This may not be unconnected to the previous study that revealed that having a positive provider-patients relationship enhances retention in care [1,18,20,21]. It was also found that 20% of respondents observed friendly and secured environment as a factor that encouraged them to remain in HIV care over time. Similarly, 5% of the participants identified less clients' waiting times as a source of their motivator for accessing HIV care services over time, 8% of them attributed their retention enhancer to the availability of free HIV care services and drugs, 2% of the participants said that their continual interest to access HIV care at the study site was as a result of their proximity to the clinic, 1% of two different participants observed appointment reminder phone calls and provision of confidential healthcare services as reasons for their retention over time. This finding was in line with a study conducted by Ulett, et al, [1]; Care, et al. [31]; Jemmott, et al. [30]. Also, while 0.6% of the participants claimed the availability of support group as his retention's enhancer and this was in line with the past study that revealed that social support group serves as a powerful tool to retention in care [28] and the remaining 0.6% of respondent believed to have been retained because of good adherence counseling support received throughout the assessment period.

Conversely, of the 14 participants that did not utilize the HIV care in this study, as shown in Table 3, 21% of the participants attributed full time job as major barrier to retention. This finding was similar to other study that identified a competing life activity such as full time job as a major barrier to retention in care [21] Also, 14% of respondents was lost out of care because of death that snatched them away during the assessment period. And this is an unavoidable circumstance more especially if a patients did not adhere to medication which was also implicated in other study [34]. Similarly, most of the participant 36% attributed distance as a major barrier for not being retained in the clinic. And this was consistent with other study conducted in rural Uganda that has the same economic status with Nigeria which revealed that 42% of barrier to retention in care was distance [26]. Also, 21% of

barrier to care utilization was found to be self-denial and this outcome was similar to the previous study that stated that difficulty with self-acceptance affect the willingness to be retained [16], and finally, 7% of them did not utilize the care because they trusted in the efficacy of herbal medication [35-41].

5. CONCLUSION

Engagement and retention in care has been linked to improved health outcomes, better medication adherence and increased overall survival. This study was able to reveal several important individual, healthcare provider, and structural-level factors that might determine how MSM may or may not be retained in HIV care. The study focus group participants also suggested some innovative strategies to improve retention in HIV care among MSM. Future efforts to initiate and sustain HIV care among Nigeria MSM depends on close collaboration between the government, community-based organizations, HIV care facilities and staff, and MSM themselves to create a more socially and culturally tolerant environment and a robust and comprehensive HIV care system. Therefore, improving retention in HIV care among these underserved groups begins with addressing the relevant social, economic, geographical, and political forces that are acting against them.

6. STUDY LIMITATION

The limitations encountered during the study assessment period were sexual harassment.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

CONSENT AND ETHICAL APPROVAL

Ethical approval for this study was received from Health Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi-Araba, Lagos. Permission was obtained from Population Council before commencing the

study. Population Council data access and usage application form for this study was obtained from the organization, filled, submitted and a go ahead approval was received from HIV unit Deputy Director. Sufficient information that was enough to make an informed decision on whether or not to participate in the study were adequately explained to each respondent and signed informed consent obtained. They were assured of absolute confidentiality in the information provided and non-participation or withdrawal attracts no penalty.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ulett KB, Willig JH, Lin HY, Routman JS, Abrams S, Allison J, Chatham A, Raper JL, Saag MS, Mugavero MJ. The Therapeutic Implications of Timely Linkage and Early Retention in HIV Care. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2009;23(1):41–49. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2008.0132>.
2. UNAIDS. The Gap Report; 2014.
3. Hall HI, Frazier EL, Rhodes P, Holtgrave DR, Furlow-Parmley C, Tang T, Gray KM, Cohen SM, Mermin J, Skarbinski J. Differences in Human Immunodeficiency Virus Care and Treatment among Subpopulations in the United States. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 2013;173(14):1337. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.6841>
4. Stein JA, Andersen R, Gelberg L. Applying the Gelberg-Andersen Behavioral Model for Vulnerable Populations to Health Services Utilization in Homeless Women. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 2007;12(5):791–804. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105307080612>
5. Murphy DA, Wilson CM, Durako SJ, Muenz LR, Belzer M. Antiretroviral medication adherence among the REACH HIV-infected adolescent cohort in the USA. *AIDS Care*. 2001;13(1):27–40. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/0954012020018161>
6. Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Zinski A, Davila J, Drainoni ML, Gardner LI, Keruly JC, Malitz F, Marks G, Metsch L, Wilson TE, Giordano TP. Measuring Retention in HIV Care. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2012;61(5):574–580. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0b013e318273762f>
7. Mugavero M, Lin H, Willig J, Westfall A, Ulett K, Routman J, Abrams S, Raper J, Saag M, Allison J. Missed Visits and Mortality among Patients Establishing Initial Outpatient HIV Treatment. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 2009;48(2):248–256. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1086/595705>
8. Fleishman JA, Yehia BR, Moore RD, Korthuis PT, Gebo KA. Establishment, Retention, and Loss to Follow-Up in Outpatient HIV Care. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2012;60(3):249–259. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0b013e318258c696>
9. Yehia BR, Fleishman JA, Metlay JP, Korthuis PT, Agwu AL, Berry SA, Moore RD, Gebo KA. Comparing different measures of retention in outpatient HIV care. *AIDS*, 2012;26(9):1131–1139. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qad.0b013e3283528afa>
10. Giordano TP, Visnegarwala F, White AC, Troisi CL, Frankowski RF, Hartman CM, Grimes RM. Patients referred to an urban HIV clinic frequently fail to establish care: factors predicting failure. *AIDS Care*. 2005;17(6):773–783. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540120412331336652>
11. Giordano TP, Hartman C, Gifford AL, Backus LI, Morgan RO. Predictors of Retention in HIV Care among a National Cohort of US Veterans. *HIV Clinical Trials*. 2009;10(5):299–305. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1310/hct1005-299>
12. Cunningham CO, Sohler NL, Wong MD, Relf M, Cunningham WE, Drainoni ML, Bradford J, Pounds MB, Cabral HD. Utilization of Health Care Services in Hard-to-Reach Marginalized HIV-Infected Individuals. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 2007;21(3):177–186. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2006.103>
13. Rumpitz MH, Tobias C, Rajabiun S, Bradford J, Cabral H, Young R, Cunningham WE. Factors Associated with Engaging Socially Marginalized HIV-Positive Persons in Primary Care. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2007;21(s1):S-30.

- Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2007.9989>
14. Rudy BJ, Murphy DA, Harris DR, Muenz L, Ellen J. Patient-Related Risks for Nonadherence to Antiretroviral Therapy among HIV-Infected Youth in the United States: A Study of Prevalence and Interactions. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2009;23(3):185–194. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2008.0162>
15. Agwu AL, Fleishman JA, Korthuis PT, Siberry GK, Ellen JM, Gaur AH, Rutstein R, Gebo KA. Disparities in Antiretroviral Treatment: A Comparison of Behaviorally HIV-Infected Youth and Adults in the HIV Research Network. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2011;58(1):100–107. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0b013e31822327df>
16. Rethemiotaki I. Vigilance for HIV/AIDS: a cross-sectional study of 2 million adults in the United States. *HIV & AIDS Review*. 2021;20(2):96–101. Available:<https://doi.org/10.5114/hivar.2021.107235>
17. Garland PM, Valverde EE, Fagan J, Beer L, Sanders C, Hillman D, Brady K, Courogen M, Bertolli J. HIV Counseling, Testing and Referral Experiences of Persons Diagnosed with HIV Who Have Never Entered HIV Medical Care. *AIDS Education and Prevention*, 2011; 23(3_supplement):117–127. Available:https://doi.org/10.1521/aeap.2011.23.3_suppl.117
18. Kempf MC, McLeod J, Boehme AK, Walcott MW, Wright L, Seal P, Norton WE, Schumacher JE, Mugavero M, Moneyham L. A Qualitative Study of the Barriers and Facilitators to Retention-in-Care among HIV-Positive Women in the Rural Southeastern United States: Implications for Targeted Interventions. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2010;24(8): 515–520. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2010.0065>
19. Quinlivan EB, Messer LC, Adimora AA, Roytburd K, Bowditch N, Parnell H, Seay J, Bell L, Pierce JK. Experiences with HIV Testing, Entry, and Engagement in Care by HIV-Infected Women of Color, and the Need for Autonomy, Competency, and Relatedness. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2013;27(7):408–415. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2012.0434>
20. Messer LC, Quinlivan EB, Parnell H, Roytburd K, Adimora AA, Bowditch N, DeSousa N. Barriers and Facilitators to Testing, Treatment Entry, and Engagement in Care by HIV-Positive Women of Color. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2013;27(7):398–407. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2012.0435>
21. Moneyham L, McLeod J, Boehme A, Wright L, Mugavero M, Seal P, Norton WE, Kempf MC. Perceived Barriers to HIV Care among HIV-Infected Women in the Deep South. *Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care*. 2010;21(6):467–477. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jana.2010.03.003>
22. Rajabiun S, Mallinson RK, McCoy K, Coleman S, Drainoni ML, Rebholz C, Holbert T. “Getting Me Back on Track”: The Role of Outreach Interventions in Engaging and Retaining People Living with HIV/AIDS in Medical Care. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2007;21(s1):S-20. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2007.9990>
23. Ulett KB, Willig JH, Lin HY, Routman JS, Abrams S, Allison J, Chatham A, Raper JL, Saag MS., Mugavero MJ. The Therapeutic Implications of Timely Linkage and Early Retention in HIV Care. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2009b;23(1):41–49. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2008.0132>
24. Mallinson RK, Relf MV, Dekker D, Dolan K, Darcy A, Ford A. Maintaining Normalcy. *Advances in Nursing Science*. 2005;28(3):265–277. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1097/00012272-200507000-00008>
25. Christopoulos KA, Massey AD, Lopez AM, Geng EH, Johnson MO, Pilcher CD, Fielding H, Dawson-Rose C. “Taking a Half Day at a Time:” Patient Perspectives and the HIV Engagement in Care Continuum. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2013;27(4):223–230. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2012.0418>
26. Geng EH, Bangsberg DR, Musinguzi N, Emenyonu N, Bwana MB, Yiannoutsos CT, Glidden DV, Deeks SG, Martin JN. Understanding Reasons for and Outcomes of Patients Lost to Follow-Up in Antiretroviral Therapy Programs in Africa

- Through a Sampling-Based Approach. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2010;53(3): 405–411.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0b013e3181b843f0>
27. Hussen SA, Andes K, Gilliard D, Chakraborty R, Del Rio C, Malebranche DJ. Transition to Adulthood and Antiretroviral Adherence among HIV-Positive Young Black Men Who Have Sex with Men. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2015;105(4):725–731.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.2105/ajph.2014.301905>
 28. Bauermeister JA, Johns MM, Sandfort TGM, Eisenberg A, Grossman AH, D'Augelli AR. Relationship Trajectories and Psychological Well-Being among Sexual Minority Youth. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2010;39(10): 1148–1163.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-010-9557-y>
 29. Ogunbajo A, Kershaw T, Kushwaha S, Boakye F, Wallace-Atiapah ND, Nelson LE. Barriers, Motivators, and Facilitators to Engagement in HIV Care Among HIV-Infected Ghanaian Men Who have Sex with Men (MSM). *AIDS and behavior*. 2018;22(3):829–839.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-017-1806-6>
 30. Jemmott JB, 3rd, Zhang J, Croom M, Icard LD, Rutledge SE, O'Leary A. Barriers and Facilitators to Engaging African American Men Who Have Sex with Men in the HIV Care Continuum: A Theory-Based Qualitative Study. *The Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care: JANAC*. 2019;30(3):352–361.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/JNC.0000000000000087>
 31. Carey JW, Carnes N, Schoua-Glusberg A, Kenward K, Gelaude D, Denson D, Gall E, Randall LA, Frew PM. Barriers and Facilitators for Clinical Care Engagement among HIV-Positive African American and Latino Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS patient care and STDs*, 2018;32(5): 191–201.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2018.0018>
 32. Philbin MM, Hirsch JS, Wilson PA, Ly AT, Giang LM, Parker RG. Structural barriers to HIV prevention among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Vietnam: Diversity, stigma, and Healthcare Access. *PLOS ONE*. 2018;13(4).
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195000>
 33. Newman PA, Tepjan S, Rubincam C. Exploring Sex, HIV and 'Sensitive' Space (s) Among Sexual and Gender Minority Young Adults in Thailand. In *A new era in focus group research*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. 2017:83–106.
 34. Mellins CA, Tassiopoulos K, Malee K, Moscicki AB, Patton D, Smith R, Usitalo A, Allison SM, van Dyke R, Seage III, For The Pediatric HIV-AI GR. Behavioral Health Risks in Perinatally HIV-Exposed Youth: Co-Occurrence of Sexual and Drug Use Behavior, Mental Health Problems, and Nonadherence to Antiretroviral Treatment. *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*. 2011;25(7):413–422.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2011.0025>
 35. Magnus M, Jones K, Phillips G, Binson D, Hightow-Weidman LB, Richards-Clarke C, Wohl AR, Outlaw A, Giordano TP, Quamina A, Cobbs W, Fields SD, Tinsley M, Cajina A, Hidalgo J. Characteristics Associated With Retention Among African American and Latino Adolescent HIV-Positive Men: Results From the Outreach, Care, and Prevention to Engage HIV-Seropositive Young MSM of Color Special Project of National Significance Initiative. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2010;53(4):529–536.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0b013e3181b56404>
 36. Inequalities by educational level in response to combination antiretroviral treatment and survival in HIV-positive men and women in Europe. *AIDS*, 2017;31(2):253–262.
 Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/qad.0000000000001270>
 37. Ifeanyi OE, N. EB, Uzoma OG, O.M.T.B. O, Ejike Felix C, Stella EI, Chinedum O, K. Evaluation of Some Cytokines, CD4, Hepcidin, Iron Profile and Some Haematological Parameters of Pulmonary Tuberculosis Patients Coinfected with HIV in Southeast of Nigeria. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*. 2020;32(13):118-130.
 DOI: 10.9734/jpri/2020/v32i1330592.
 38. Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu, et al. Comparative Analysis of Interferon-

- Gamma, IL-6, IL-10, CD4, Hepcidin, Iron Status and Some Haematological Parameters of Control and Non ART HIV Positive Subjects, Sch J App Med Sci. 2019;7(7):2383- 2391.
39. Ezeoru VC, Enweani IB, Ochiabuto O, Nwachukwu AC, Ogbonna US, Obeagu EI. Prevalence of Malaria with Anaemia and HIV Status in Women of Reproductive Age in Onitsha. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2021;33(4):10-19. DOI: 10.9734/jpri/2021/v33i431166
40. Ochei KC, Obeagu EI, Mbajiuka CS, Uzoije NU. Comparative assessment of five laboratory techniques in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in Abuja. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences. 2014;13:73-78.
41. Omo-Emmanuel UK, Chinedum OK, Obeagu EI, et al. Evaluation of laboratory logistics management information system in HIV/AIDS comprehensive health facilities in Bayelsa State, Nigeria. Int J Curr Res Med Sci. 2017;3(1):21–38.

© 2021 Offie et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/77227>