



# Growth, Yield and Nutrient Content of Mungbean as Influenced by Foliar Potassium Application and Irrigation Levels

K. Shivashankar <sup>a+++\*</sup>, Adesh Singh <sup>b#</sup> and Ankur Singh <sup>c++</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka- 580 005, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.)- 250 110, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, BHU, Varanasi, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i82877

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98304>

Original Research Article

Received: 27/01/2023  
Accepted: 29/03/2023  
Published: 31/03/2023

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in 2018 to study the response of *Summer Mungbean* (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) to foliar potassic fertilization under different moisture regimes. The experiment was laid out in split plot design by having 16 treatment combinations with 2 irrigation levels in the main plot and 6 foliar potassium sprays in subplots, which were replicated thrice. Results revealed that the growth parameters of mungbean viz., plant height, plant spread, number of leaves/plant, LAI, dry matter accumulation/plant, and grain yield were significantly higher under 0.6 IW/CPE ratio as compared to 0.4 IW/CPE ratio. Nutrient content (N, P and K) was also found higher under 0.6

<sup>++</sup>Ph.D Scholar;

<sup>#</sup>Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: [ksshivu98@gmail.com](mailto:ksshivu98@gmail.com);

IW/CPE ratio than 0.4 IW/CPE ratio. Among potassium treatments, foliar application of 1%K through  $\text{KNO}_3/\text{KCl}$  at flowering and pod development stage produced significantly higher values of growth parameters viz., plant height, number of leaves and branches/plant and dry matter accumulation/plant at all the stages of growth except at 25 DAS. Foliar application of 1%K through  $\text{KNO}_3/\text{KCl}$  at flowering and pod development stage recorded significantly higher grain and straw yield than rest of the treatments. Nutrient content was found higher under foliar application of 1%K through  $\text{KNO}_3/\text{KCl}$  at flowering and pod development stage but did not differ significantly.

**Keywords:** Summer mungbean; KCl;  $\text{KNO}_3$ ; foliar spray; 0.4 and 0.6 IW/CPE ratio.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are a wonderful gift from nature. They can be grown on a wide range of climatic conditions and soils. Pulses are rich in protein and are regarded as the main protein source for vegetarians. Pulses are considered as the second major constituent of the Indian diet after cereals. Pulses besides enriching the soil by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and improving the organic matter in the soil. Pulses are also used as green manuring crops. Besides enriching the soil nutrient status and physical structure, pulses also suit well in mixed cropping, intercropping, crop rotation and dry farming. They are the nutritional source for humans and cattle as well, thereby contributing to a more sustainable food system. On an average, pulses contain 22-25% protein as against 8-10% in cereals. "The total acreage under pulses in the world is about 85.4 million ha with an annual production of 87.4 million tonnes and productivity of 1023 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. India ranks first in area and production of pulses with 34% and 26% of the world, respectively. In India, pulses were cultivated in more than 27.9 million ha of an area with a production of 23.0 million tonnes at an average productivity of 823 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [1]. "The main pulse-producing states in India are Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka and Uttar Pradesh. Rajasthan is the leading producer of pulses accounting for about 6.34 million ha of the area with a production of 4.50 million tonnes at an average productivity of 709 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The productivity in Rajasthan is lower than the national average due to only 23.3 % of the total pulse cultivated area being under irrigated conditions" [1].

Among pulses, Mungbean can be grown as a catch crop because of its short growth period. In *summer* due to high temperature, high transpiration rate and low water availability, moisture stress occurs at various growth stages. Drought stress occurs when the water near the root surface is not sufficient for absorption. As

the soil dries up, the rhizobium population decreases radically immediately [2]. As the moisture stress increases, it drastically reduces nitrogen fixation along with plant growth [3]. Among the tools of crop production, irrigation became the most important factor in the changing global scenario. Day by day the requirement for water during the *summer* months is increasing due to high temperature and higher evapotranspiration needs [4]. Adequate water is required at all growth stages of mungbean crop but they are very much susceptible to water stress during flowering and pod filling stage. Shortage of water during these sensitive stages will cause a significant reduction in yield. Water stress negatively affects many plant processes including photosynthesis, transpiration, evaporation, etc. [5] which also cause a substantial reduction in dry matter accumulation [6]. Efficient use of water during *summer* will help in enhancing the production, productivity of pulses, water productivity and water use efficiency. Scheduling of irrigation is the major factor that plays a key role in producing higher yields during *summer* season. Therefore, scheduling irrigation in a scientific manner will improve water use efficiency and reduce water losses. Irrigation water economy can be aimed through scheduling of irrigation at the right time and meteorological approach based pan evaporation is one of the simplest, most reliable and economical method.

Crop management practices can include both by applying fertilizer through soil and as well as on foliage. However, currently, the foliar application of nutrients to pulses is in limited use for increase of stress resistance mechanism. There is no time for basal application of nutrients to pulses when grown as relay cropping, where pulses are sown before harvest of the first crop, so basal application of nutrients to pulses becomes impossible. Balusamy and Meyyazhagan [7] suggested that "the foliar application of nutrients was more appropriate, efficient and economical than soil application". "Application of nutrients on

foliage at proper stages of crop growth plays an important role in utilization of nutrients and better performance of the crop” [8]. “Generally, Indian soils are rich in potassium but its availability to crops is low and not sufficient. In India, nowadays intensive cropping and intercropping systems are gaining importance and high-yielding varieties responds positively to different levels of potassium doses. Macronutrients play a key role in boosting the grain yield in pulses. Foliar treatment of macronutrients like nitrogen and potassium was found as effective as soil application” [9]. “Potassium is one of the macronutrient which plays a major role in plant growth and sustainable crop production. It involves in activation of more than 60 plant enzymes” [10]. “It imparts resistance in plants against diseases and pests attack. It also helps in maintaining the turgor pressure of the cell which is necessary for cell expansion. It also helps in the osmoregulation of plant cell, and support in opening and closing mechanism of stomata” [11]. “Taken as a whole, potassium is an enzyme activator, helps in the synthesis of starch and protein, helps in metabolism, plays a major role in stomatal regulation and also takes part in chlorophyll formation and grain development. It provides strength to stem and imparts resistance against lodging. Potassium ( $K^+$ ) is reported as an important element in reducing the ill effects of soil water stress. Potassium stimulates root growth and hence explores more soil water. Therefore, there is very much essential to give potassium nutrition externally to enhance overall plant growth and plant productivity. Application of potassium in the course of vegetative and reproductive stages can reduce the ill effects of water stress” [10]. Thaloorth et al. [12] reported that “foliar application of potassium improves the water content in the broad bean leaves”. “Foliar application of potassium increases the drought tolerance in mungbean plant” [13]. “Application of potassium at the time of flowering showed the beneficial effect on all the growth characters” [14].

Hence, considering the above facts, the research was planned to study the effect of foliar potassium application and irrigation regimes on mungbean crop.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted to study the “Growth, yield and nutrient content of mungbean as influenced by foliar potassium application and irrigation levels” at Crop research center, Sardar

Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.), during the summer season of 2018. Meerut comes in the semi-arid and sub-tropical climatic zone. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam in texture and soil was medium in available phosphorus and available potassium but low in organic carbon and available nitrogen. The total amount of rainfall received during the crop period was 74.5 mm. however, it did not affect the treatment imposition during the crop growth.

The experiment was laid out in Split plot design by having 12 treatment combinations comprising two irrigation levels ( $I_1$ -0.6 IW/CPE and  $I_2$ -0.4 IW/CPE) in the main plot and 5 foliar potassium sprays ( $T_2$ -1%K by KCl at flowering,  $T_3$ -1%K by  $KNO_3$  at flowering,  $T_4$ -1%K by (KCl+ $KNO_3$ ) at flowering,  $T_5$ -1%K by KCl at flowering and pod development and  $T_6$ -1%K by  $KNO_3$  at flowering and pod development) along with control ( $T_1$ -water spray) in sub plots replicated thrice in 12 plots each of size 5.0 m x 4.5 m. Sowing of mungbean (Pant mung-5) using 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was done at a spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm. One pre-sowing irrigation was given before land preparation especially to provide sufficient moisture for land preparation and immediately after sowing one irrigation of 70 mm depth was given for proper germination and ensuring the better establishment of the crop irrespective of cumulative pan evaporation readings (CPE). Afterwards, irrigation of 70 mm depth was provided as per treatments based on cumulative pan evaporation readings (CPE). The amount of water applied was measured using parshall flume laid at the beginning irrigation channel. After initial common irrigation, a total of five irrigations were scheduled for the treatment with 0.6 IW/CPE [on 20, 30, 46, 63 and 72 Days after sowing (DAS)] and 3 irrigations were scheduled for the treatment with 0.4 IW/CPE ratio (on 30, 46 and 68 DAS). The recommended dose of fertilizer (20:40:0 kg of N:  $P_2O_5$ : $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied to all the treatments through urea and DAP at the time of sowing and foliar potassium application was given as per treatments to each plot.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of Irrigation Regimes and Foliar Potassium Application on Plant Population of Mungbean at Harvest

**Irrigation regimes:** Irrigation schedules had brought a significant difference in the plant population of mungbean at maturity (Table 1).

The plant population of mungbean at maturity increased with increasing the number of irrigations. Significantly higher plant population at maturity and significantly lower mortality (10.1 %) were noticed under 0.6 IW/CPE irrigation level than 0.4 IW/CPE ratio. Higher plant population might be due to adequate moisture supply during the entire crop growth, which improves the root system and maintains water status in plant cells. The lowest plant population and highest mortality (%) was recorded under 0.4 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule which might be due to the fact that plants have undergone moisture stress for some period of life cycle and thus adversely affected the plant stand. The results are in confirmation to the findings of Kanubhai [15] and Chaudhary et al. [16].

**Foliar potassium application:** The plant population of mungbean at maturity also increased with increasing the number of potassium sprays. Highest plant population (298700 plants/ha) and the lowest mortality (9.3 %) was recorded with the application of 1%K through  $KNO_3$  spray at flowering and pod development and the lowest plant population was recorded under water spray (284800 plants/ha) though the difference between treatments was not significant. The higher plant population in potassium applied plots than in control might be due to the major role of potassium in the transport of water and nutrients, besides maintaining the water potential in the plant cells which could be helpful in higher plant stand at harvest. Our results are in close proximity with Marskole [17] in soybean.

Interaction effect between irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on plant population was found to be non significant.

### 3.2 Growth and Developmental Studies

#### 3.2.1 Effect of irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on the growth of mungbean

The data with respect to plant height, plant spread, number of leaves, leaf area index (LAI) and Dry matter accumulation (DMA) as influenced by irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management is presented in Tables 2-6.

**Irrigation regimes:** The tallest plants were recorded with irrigation applied at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio at all the stages of crop growth. Significantly higher plant height was recorded with irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE (19.7, 46.3 and 55.1cm) over 0.4

IW/CPE ratio (16.5, 41.4 and 47.7cm) at 25, 50 and at harvest, respectively (Table 2). Irrigation scheduled at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio showed significantly higher plant spread of 16.1, 36.8 and 33.2 cm at 25 DAS, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively over at 0.4 IW/CPE ratio (Table 3). This might be due to the good establishment of roots and adequate moisture supply in soil which made higher nutrient mobilization and uptake and better condition for cell division and cell enlargement, which ultimately increased the plant height and plant spread. The results are in close conformity with the findings of Yadav and Singh [18] and Patel et al. [19] in mungbean.

Significantly maximum number of physiologically active leaves/plant were recorded with irrigation at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio (3.82, 7.75 and 5.36 at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively) as compared to 0.4 IW/CPE ratio (Table 4). Significantly maximum leaf area index was recorded under 0.6 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule (3.11, 4.24 and 3.77 at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively) over 0.4 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule at all the stages of crop growth (Table 5). This might be due to more availability of essential nutrients under frequently irrigated conditions, maintenance of higher water status in plants and cooler canopy temperature which resulted in more absorption of photosynthetically active radiation and a higher rate of photosynthesis that helps in the formation of taller, thicker stem and root system, which ultimately increased the number of leaves/plant and LAI. Similar results were also found by Chaudhary et al. [16] in mungbean and Singh et al. [20] in french bean.

The highest dry matter production was recorded when irrigation was scheduled at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio (4.3, 8.6 and 12.4 g/plant at 25, 50 DAS and at harvest, respectively) which was significantly superior to 0.4 IW/CPE ratio (Table 6). It is well-known fact that sufficient supply of soil moisture helps in plant cell division and cell enlargement, resulting in better photosynthetic area, plant growth and thereby higher dry matter accumulation/plant. Similar results were also found by Idnani and Gautam [21] and Patel et al. [22].

**Foliar potassium application:** The influence of foliar potassium application on plant height, plant spread, number of leaves, leaf area index and dry matter accumulation of mungbean was found to be non-significant at the initial stage of crop as the potassium spray was given at the time of flowering and pod development stages.

**Table 1. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on plant population and mortality percentage of mungbean**

Treatments		Initial plant Population ('000/ha)			Final plant population ('000/ha)			Mortality (%)		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	330.8	329.2	330.0	289.1	280.5	284.8	12.6	14.8	13.7
	T <sub>2</sub>	330.3	330.1	330.2	294.6	287.5	291.1	10.8	12.9	11.8
	T <sub>3</sub>	329.7	329.5	329.6	295.7	289.0	292.4	10.3	12.3	11.3
	T <sub>4</sub>	328.8	328.9	328.8	297.0	291.4	294.2	9.8	11.7	10.7
	T <sub>5</sub>	328.3	330.3	329.3	299.8	293.7	296.8	8.8	10.7	9.8
	T <sub>6</sub>	329.3	330.0	329.7	301.1	296.3	298.7	8.3	10.3	9.3
	Mean	329.5	329.7		296.2	289.7		10.1	12.1	
		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)	
Irrigation			1.03	NS		0.99	6.40		0.23	1.49
Foliar potassium management			4.7	NS		7.54	NS		1.20	NS
Interaction (I x T)			6.67	NS		10.66	NS		1.70	NS

**Table 2. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on plant height (cm) of mungbean**

Treatments		Plant height (cm)								
		25 DAS			50 DAS			At harvest		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	19.8	16.7	18.3	41.7	35.9	38.8	47.9	41.0	44.4
	T <sub>2</sub>	20.3	16.9	18.6	46.6	41.6	44.1	53.9	46.9	50.4
	T <sub>3</sub>	19.2	15.7	17.5	47.5	43.0	45.3	55.5	47.7	51.6
	T <sub>4</sub>	20.1	17.3	18.7	47.9	43.4	45.7	55.7	48.2	52.0
	T <sub>5</sub>	19.4	15.9	17.7	46.8	41.9	44.4	57.7	50.1	53.9
	T <sub>6</sub>	19.6	16.7	18.2	47.3	42.8	45.1	59.9	52.1	56.0
	Mean	19.7	16.5		46.3	41.4		55.1	47.7	
		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)	
Irrigation			0.4	2.4		0.6	3.8		0.71	4.7
Foliar potassium management			0.9	NS		1.1	3.2		1.34	4.0
Interaction (I x T)			1.2	NS		1.5	NS		1.9	NS

Significantly higher plant height (45.7 cm) and plant spread (36.0 cm) at 50 DAS were recorded with the application of 1%K spray through (KCl+KNO<sub>3</sub>) at flowering as compared to control but statistically similar with remaining treatments. At harvest, foliar application of 1%K through KNO<sub>3</sub> at flowering and pod development stage recorded significantly higher plant height (56.0 cm) and plant spread (32.7 cm) over control. This increase might be due to the well-known fact that potassium enhances cell division and cell expansion as well as the positive influence of

potassium on water and nutrient uptake, thus creating the cell turgor necessary for growth, resulting in higher plant height and plant spread. These results are in close conformity with those of Govindan and Thirumurugan [23] in mungbean, Goud et al. [24] in chickpea and Sanjay [25] in mungbean.

Maximum number of physiologically active leaves/plant (7.63) and leaf area index (3.95) at 50 DAS were recorded with the foliar application of 1%K by (KCl+KNO<sub>3</sub>) at flowering which was

significantly higher over control but remained *at par* with rest of the treatments. Maximum number of physiologically active leaves/plant (5.37) and leaf area index (3.55) at harvest was recorded with the 1%K spray through KNO<sub>3</sub> at flowering and pod development stage followed by 1%K spray through KCl at flowering and pod development stage though both were statistically similar but significantly higher than the control. The positive effect of potassium in increasing the number of leaves/plant and LAI might be due to its biochemical role in the

stimulation of photosynthesis and transfer of its products to active growing sites in addition to its role in meristematic cell division and elongation/expansion that reflects positively on the number of leaves and leaf area index. Al-Shaheen et al. [26] also opined that the water and potassium union affected the leaf area and all the plant activities, consequently an increase in plant elongation and then the leaf area. Similar findings were also made by Govindan and Thirumurugan [23] in mungbean, Balasaheb [27] in soybean and Lakshmi et al. [28] in urdbean.

**Table 3. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on plant spread (cm) of mungbean**

Treatments		Plant spread (cm)								
		25 DAS			50 DAS			At harvest		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	15.9	13.8	14.9	32.0	26.1	29.0	28.2	23.8	26.1
	T <sub>2</sub>	16.3	12.9	14.6	36.3	30.4	33.4	32.5	26.3	29.4
	T <sub>3</sub>	16.5	15.1	15.8	37.2	32.2	34.7	33.5	27.0	30.2
	T <sub>4</sub>	15.7	14.7	15.2	38.8	33.1	36.0	34.3	28.5	31.4
	T <sub>5</sub>	16.7	14.4	15.6	37.9	30.3	34.1	35.0	29.1	32.1
	T <sub>6</sub>	15.8	12.7	14.2	38.4	32.4	35.4	35.6	29.8	32.7
	Mean	16.1	13.9		36.8	30.8		33.2	27.4	
		S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)
Irrigation		0.3		1.9	0.8		5.3	0.7		4.5
Foliar potassium management		0.6		NS	0.9		2.7	0.9		2.6
Interaction (I x T)		0.76		NS	1.3		NS	1.2		NS

**Table 4. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on number of physiologically active trifoliolate leaves per plant of mungbean**

Treatments		Number of physiologically active trifoliolate leaves per plant								
		25 DAS			50 DAS			At harvest		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	3.93	2.94	3.45	6.27	5.60	5.93	4.46	3.62	4.05
	T <sub>2</sub>	3.80	2.86	3.33	7.69	6.18	6.95	5.24	4.30	4.77
	T <sub>3</sub>	3.90	3.08	3.50	8.28	6.77	7.53	5.34	4.39	4.87
	T <sub>4</sub>	3.97	3.15	3.57	8.38	6.91	7.63	5.43	4.49	4.95
	T <sub>5</sub>	3.71	2.62	3.17	7.73	6.56	7.17	5.77	4.74	5.27
	T <sub>6</sub>	3.60	2.53	3.07	8.18	6.70	7.43	5.87	4.84	5.37
	Mean	3.82	2.88		7.75	6.47		5.36	4.40	
		S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)
Irrigation		0.08		0.53	0.20		1.32	0.15		0.95
Foliar potassium management		0.13		NS	0.21		0.63	0.16		0.47
Interaction (I x T)		0.18		NS	0.30		NS	0.23		NS

**Table 5. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on leaf area index (LAI) of mungbean**

Treatments		Leaf Area Index (LAI)								
		25 DAS			50 DAS			At harvest		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	3.15	2.13	2.64	3.88	3.12	3.50	3.42	2.69	3.05
	T <sub>2</sub>	3.06	2.21	2.63	4.18	3.28	3.73	3.72	2.82	3.28
	T <sub>3</sub>	3.23	1.95	2.59	4.36	3.30	3.83	3.79	2.84	3.30
	T <sub>4</sub>	3.00	2.04	2.52	4.42	3.49	3.95	3.81	2.90	3.35
	T <sub>5</sub>	2.93	2.29	2.61	4.23	3.30	3.76	3.94	3.10	3.52
	T <sub>6</sub>	3.30	2.36	2.83	4.38	3.45	3.91	3.98	3.14	3.55
	Mean	3.11	2.16		4.24	3.32		3.77	2.91	
		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)				
Irrigation		0.07 0.47		0.10 0.63		0.08 0.49				
Foliar potassium management		0.09 NS		0.08 0.23		0.06 0.19				
Interaction (I x T)		0.13 NS		0.11 NS		0.10 NS				

**Table 6. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on dry matter accumulation (g/plant) of mungbean**

Treatments		Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)								
		25 DAS			50 DAS			At harvest		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	4.32	2.93	3.6	7.72	5.96	6.9	11.04	8.99	10.0
	T <sub>2</sub>	4.50	3.40	3.9	8.77	7.03	7.9	12.12	10.12	11.1
	T <sub>3</sub>	4.37	3.13	3.8	8.81	7.22	8.0	12.19	10.38	11.3
	T <sub>4</sub>	4.27	3.17	3.7	8.91	7.16	8.1	12.27	10.75	11.5
	T <sub>5</sub>	4.43	3.30	3.9	8.64	6.90	7.8	13.19	11.63	12.4
	T <sub>6</sub>	4.03	3.03	3.5	8.88	7.05	8.0	13.56	11.82	12.7
	Mean	4.3	3.2		8.6	6.9		12.4	10.6	
		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)		S.Em. C.D. (±) (P=0.05)				
Irrigation		0.09 0.58		0.19 1.16		0.25 1.65				
Foliar potassium management		0.12 NS		0.23 0.69		0.35 1.03				
Interaction (I x T)		0.17 NS		0.33 NS		0.49 NS				

Foliar application of 1%K through (KCl+KNO<sub>3</sub>) at flowering stage recorded maximum dry matter production (8.1 g/plant) at 50 DAS, being *on par* with rest of the treatments but significantly higher over control (6.9 g/plant). However, at harvest, foliar application of 1%K through KNO<sub>3</sub> at flowering and at pod development stage recorded higher dry matter accumulation (12.7 g/plant) which was comparable with 1%K by KCl spray at flowering and at pod development stage (12.4 g/plant) and significantly higher over rest of the treatments. The lowest dry matter production in mungbean

was recorded under control plots (10.0 g/plant). The increase in dry matter accumulation/plant might be due to the fact that potassium nitrate provides potassium as well as nitrogen, both influence the water economy and crop growth, through their impact on water uptake, root growth, maintenance of turgor, transpiration and stomatal behavior. It enhances the photosynthetic activity in plants and which ultimately led to more biomass production/plant. Our results are in close conformity with the findings of Chandrasekhar and Bangarusamy [29] in mungbean, Vekaria et al. [30] in

mungbean, Sanjay [25] in mungbean and Lakshmi et al. [28] in urdbean.

Interaction effect between irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on growth parameters were found to be non significant.

### 3.2.2 Effect of irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on yield of mungbean

The yield data of mungbean as influenced by irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application is presented in Table 7.

**Irrigation regimes:** Significantly higher grain yield (1100 kg/ha) and straw yield (2381 kg/ha)

were observed under 0.6 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule over 0.4 IW/CPE ratio. However, the pace of increment in grain and straw yield of I<sub>1</sub> over I<sub>2</sub> was to the tune of 25.2 and 9.1 %, respectively. The highest grain and straw yield at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio was mainly due to sufficient moisture supply during the entire growth period, increased irrigation frequency increased the soil moisture status which resulted in higher leaf water potential, higher photosynthesis, consequently increased dry matter production and yield attributes, which ultimately increased grain yield and straw yield. These findings are in close conformity with those of Yadav and Singh [18] in mungbean and Patel et al. [19] in mungbean.

**Table 7. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on grain and straw yield (kg/ha) of mungbean**

Treatments		Grain yield (kg/ha)			Straw yield (kg/ha)		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	923.3	686.7	805.0	2118.3	1714.9	1916.6
	T <sub>2</sub>	1043.3	820.0	931.7	2327.8	2089.8	2208.8
	T <sub>3</sub>	1063.3	850.0	956.7	2340.8	2150.9	2245.8
	T <sub>4</sub>	1090.0	890.0	990.0	2531.1	2425.5	2478.3
	T <sub>5</sub>	1206.7	990.0	1098.3	2615.4	2472.0	2543.7
	T <sub>6</sub>	1273.3	1030.0	1151.7	2355.2	2243.4	2299.3
	Mean	1100.0	877.8		2381.4	2182.8	
		S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)
Irrigation		18.7		113.6	25.8		157.1
Foliar potassium management		34.7		102.4	51.4		151.7
Interaction (I x T)		49.1		NS	72.7		NS

**Table 8. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in mungbean grain**

Treatments		N content (%)			P content (%)			K content (%)		
		IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio		
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	3.76	3.60	3.68	0.272	0.234	0.253	0.49	0.44	0.47
	T <sub>2</sub>	3.87	3.65	3.76	0.284	0.248	0.266	0.52	0.46	0.49
	T <sub>3</sub>	3.90	3.68	3.79	0.288	0.251	0.270	0.53	0.47	0.50
	T <sub>4</sub>	3.92	3.70	3.81	0.294	0.254	0.274	0.53	0.48	0.51
	T <sub>5</sub>	4.00	3.74	3.87	0.314	0.266	0.290	0.54	0.52	0.53
	T <sub>6</sub>	4.05	3.79	3.92	0.322	0.274	0.298	0.55	0.53	0.54
	Mean	3.92	3.69		0.296	0.255		0.53	0.48	
		S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)	S.Em. (±)		C.D. (P=0.05)
Irrigation		0.032		0.211	0.005		0.031	0.01		0.05
Foliar potassium management		0.05		NS	0.010		NS	0.014		0.04
Interaction (I x T)		0.074		NS	0.014		NS	0.020		NS

**Table 9. Effect of irrigation schedules and foliar potassium management on nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in mungbean straw**

Treatments	N content (%)			P content (%)			K content (%)			
	IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			IW/CPE ratio			
	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	Mean	
Foliar potassium management	T <sub>1</sub>	0.61	0.43	0.52	0.197	0.170	0.184	1.19	1.11	1.15
	T <sub>2</sub>	0.74	0.53	0.63	0.206	0.180	0.193	1.25	1.16	1.20
	T <sub>3</sub>	0.71	0.55	0.63	0.209	0.183	0.196	1.26	1.17	1.21
	T <sub>4</sub>	0.74	0.58	0.66	0.211	0.185	0.198	1.26	1.17	1.22
	T <sub>5</sub>	0.80	0.64	0.72	0.216	0.193	0.205	1.29	1.19	1.24
	T <sub>6</sub>	0.83	0.66	0.74	0.219	0.199	0.209	1.29	1.19	1.24
	Mean	0.74	0.56		0.210	0.185		1.26	1.16	
		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)		S.Em. (±)	C.D. (P=0.05)	
Irrigation		0.020	0.14		0.003	0.021		0.009	0.06	
Foliar potassium management		0.049	NS		0.006	NS		0.019	0.055	
Interaction (I x T)		0.069	NS		0.008	NS		0.026	NS	

**Foliar potassium application:** Foliar application of 1%K by KNO<sub>3</sub> (one at flowering and the other at pod development stage) produced significantly higher grain yield (1152 kg/ha) and straw yield (2544 kg/ha), which were statistically *on par* with 1%K by KCl spray (one at flowering and other at pod development stage) (1098 and 2478 kg/ha, respectively) than rest of the treatments. This might be due to the favorable effect of potassium on the metabolism and biological activity and its stimulating effect on photosynthetic pigments and enzyme activity, followed by efficient transfer of metabolites and subsequent accumulation of these metabolites in the grains, which increased the number, size and weight of individual grain which finally increased the grain and straw yield. Our results are in close proximity to the findings of Govindan and Thirumurugan [23] in mungbean and Beg et al. [14] in black gram.

Interaction effect between irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on yield (grain and straw) of mungbean was found to be non significant.

### 3.2.3 Effect of irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on the nutrient content of mungbean

The data pertaining to nitrogen content in mungbean grains and straw is presented in Tables 8 and 9.

**Irrigation regimes:** In general, the nitrogen and phosphorus content was higher in mungbean grains than in straw. Potassium content was higher in mungbean straw as compared to

grains. The nitrogen content (3.92 and 0.74 %), phosphorus content (0.296 and 0.210 %) and potassium content (0.53 and 1.26 %) in grains and straw, respectively were recorded significantly higher under 0.6 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule than 0.4 IW/CPE ratio. This increase might be due to the sufficient moisture in the soil eased the plants to absorb greater amount of water and nutrients, which in turn increased the nutrient content in grains and straw and also yielded more crop biomass. As a result, the nutrient uptake (N, P and K) was also found to be more under 0.6 IW/CPE ratio irrigation schedule. Our results are in close proximity with Arya and Sharma [31] in mungbean and Lakshmi et al. [28] in urdbean.

**Foliar potassium application:** The highest nitrogen content (3.92 and 0.74 %) and phosphorous content (0.298 and 0.209 %) in grains and straw, respectively was recorded with the foliar spray of 1%K through KNO<sub>3</sub> at flowering and pod development stage. The minimum nitrogen and phosphorous content in grains and straw was recorded under control treatment, though the foliar application of potassium increased the nitrogen content in grains and straw of mungbean but failed to bring any significant difference among them. Significantly higher potassium content in grains and straw (0.54 and 1.24 %, respectively) was recorded under treatment with 1%K as KNO<sub>3</sub> sprayed at flowering and pod development stage followed by %K as KCl sprayed at flowering and pod development stage over rest of the treatments. This increase in nutrient content in mungbean was might be due to the favorable

influence of potassium on plant metabolism, biochemistry, physiology and biological activity, stimulating effect on photosynthesis, water relationship, protein synthesis and requirement for K in at least 60 different enzyme systems in the plant. Higher nutrient content and grain yield resulted into higher N, P and K uptake. Our results are in close conformity with the findings of Yadav and Choudhary [32] in cowpea and Goud et al. [24] in chickpea. Kurhade et al. [33] also reported that “N, P and K content in grains and straw were higher with the foliar application of 1.5% KCl at flowering and 15 days after the first spray along with RDF than with control”.

Interaction effect between irrigation regimes and foliar potassium application on nutrient content of mungbean was found to be non significant.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the above results, it can be concluded that irrigating the mungbean crop at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio produced significantly higher growth, yield and nutrient content in grain and straw as compared to 0.4 IW/CPE. Foliar application of 1%K as KNO<sub>3</sub>/KCl sprayed at flowering and pod development stage recorded significantly higher growth parameters and yield as compared to other treatments. However, nutrient content increased with dual spray of 1%K as KNO<sub>3</sub>/KCl sprayed at flowering and pod development stage as compared to other treatments but did not influence significantly. Hence, irrigating the mungbean crop at 0.6 IW/CPE ratio with the foliar application of 1% K through KCl/KNO<sub>3</sub> at flowering and pod development produced significantly higher growth parameters and yield and marginal increase in nutrient content than other treatments.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Anonymous, Agriculture statistics at glance 2021, Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Department of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Government of India. 2022:457.  
Available:www.agricoop.nic.in  
Available:https://desagri.gov.in
2. Vriezen JA, De Bruijn FJ, Nusslein K. Responses of rhizobia to desiccation in relation to osmotic stress, oxygen, and temperature. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 2007;73(11):3451-9.
3. Sinclair TR, Purcell LC, King CA, Sneller CH, Chen P, Vadez V. Drought tolerance and yield increase of soybean resulting from improved symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation. Field Crops Research. 2007;101(1):68-71.
4. Surendran U, Sushanth CM, Mammen G, Joseph EJ. Modelling the crop water requirement using FAO-CROPWAT and assessment of water resources for sustainable water resource management: A case study in Palakkad district of humid tropical Kerala, India. Aquatic Procedia. 2015;4:1211-9.
5. Ohashi Y, Nakayama N, Saneoka H, Fujita K. Effects of drought stress on photosynthetic gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence and stem diameter of soybean plants. Biologia Plantarum. 2006;50:138-41.
6. Reddy AR, Chaitanya KV, Vivekanandan M. Drought-induced responses of photosynthesis and antioxidant metabolism in higher plants. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2004;161(11):1189-202.
7. Balusamy M, Meyyazhagan N. Foliar nutrition to pulse crop. Training manual on recent advances in pulses production technology, CASA, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University. 2000:113-5.
8. Krishnaveni SA, Palchamy A, Mahendran S. Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on growth and yield of green gram (*Phaseolus radiatus*). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2004;27(2):149-50.
9. Subramaniam A, Palaniappan S. Effect of method of planting, plant density and phosphorus fertilization on yield of blackgram in irrigated system. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1981;68:96-99.
10. Bukhsh, Ahmad M, Ahmad R, Malik AU, Hussain S, Ishaque M. Profitability of three maize hybrids as influenced by varying plant density and potassium application. Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 2011;21(1): 42- 47.
11. Yang XE, Wang WM and He ZL. Physiological and genetic characteristics of nutrient efficiency of plants in acid soils. 2004:78-83.
12. Thaloath AT, Zeiny HA and Saad AOM. Application of potassium fertilizer for increasing salt tolerance of broad bean

- (*Vicia faba* L.). Bulletin of Egyptian Society of Physiological Sciences. 1990;10:181-193.
13. Thaloath AT, Tawfik MM, Mohamed HM. A comparative study on the effect of foliar application of zinc, potassium and magnesium on growth, yield and some chemical constituents of mungbean plants grown under water stress conditions. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2006;2(1):37-46.
  14. Beg MZ, Ahmad S, Srivastava DK. Foliar application of potassium on urd bean. Indian Journal of Life Sciences. 2013;2(2):67.
  15. Kanubhai DS. Effect of irrigation, nitrogen and biofertilizer levels on *rabi* greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.)] under South Gujarat condition. Thesis submitted to Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari (Gujarat). 2011:124.
  16. Chaudhary AN, Vihol KJ, Chaudhary JH, Mor VB, Desai LJ. Influence of spacing and scheduling of irrigation on growth, yield attributes and economics of summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Ecology, Environment Conservation. 2015;21(December Suppl.):S357-S361.
  17. Marskole J. Studies on mitigation of drought effect through foliar spray of chemicals on soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) under rainfed condition. Thesis Submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalyaya, Jabalpur (M.P.). 2016: 48.
  18. Yadav S, Singh BN. Effect of irrigation schedules and planting methods on growth, productivity and WUE of green gram (*Phaseolus radiate* L.) under rice-wheat-green gram cropping system. Plant Archives. 2014;14(1):211-3.
  19. Patel AP, Patel DB, Chaudhary MM, Parmar PN, Patel HK. Influence of irrigation scheduling based on IW: CPE ratio and levels of sulphur on growth and yield of *rabi* greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Mills]. Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology. 2016;10(1):20-5.
  20. Singh YP, Tomar SS, Singh AK, Yadav RP. Nutrient management and irrigation scheduling effect on blackgram (*Vigna mungo*)-frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) yield, economics, water productivity and soil properties. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation. 2018;17(1):58-64.
  21. Idnani LK, Gautam HK. Water economization in summer greengram (*Vigna radiata* var *radiata*) as influenced by irrigation regimes and land configurations. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2008;78(3):214-9.
  22. Patel DM, Shah KA, Sadhu AC. Response of summer clusterbean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.] to irrigation scheduling and integrated nutrient management. International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement. 2011; 2(1):8-11.
  23. Govindan K, Thirumurugan V. Response of greengram to foliar nutrition of potassium. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities. 2000;25(3):302-3.
  24. Goud VV, Konde NM, Mohod PV, Kharche VK. Response of chickpea to potassium fertilization on yield, quality, soil fertility and economic in vertisols. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2014;37(3):311-5.
  25. Sanjay SS. Response of *summer* greengram to potash levels and foliar nutrition. Thesis Submitted to the Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth Rahuri, Maharashtra. 2015:71.
  26. Al-Shaheen MR, Soh A, Ismaaiel OH. Effect of irrigation timing and potassium fertilizing on the some growth characteristics and production for mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication. 2016;6(3):525-8.
  27. Balasaheb JU. Effect of foliar application of nutrients on yield quality and nutrients uptake by soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill.). Thesis Submitted to Vasantrya Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. 2017:70.
  28. Lakshmi KV, Sree SP, Lakshmi NV, Vani PM, Chandrasekhar K. Effects of irrigation and foliar nutrition on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(6):2425-2432.
  29. Chandrasekhar CN, Bangarusamy U. Maxximizing the yield of mung bean by foliar application of growth regulating chemicals and nutrients. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2003;90(1-3):142-145.
  30. Vekaria GB, Talpada MM, Sutaria GS, Akbari KN. Effect of foliar nutrition of potassium nitrate on the growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Legume Research: An International Journal. 2013;36(2):162-164.

31. Arya RL, Sharma JP. Efficacy of irrigation schedules and anti-transpirants/growth regulators on yield and moisture utilisation of summer mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) under limited water supply conditions. Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development. 1990;5(1-2):29-34.
32. Yadav LR, Choudhary GL. Effect of fertility levels and foliar nutrition on profitability, nutrient content and uptake of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp]. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2012; 35(3):258-60.
33. Kurhade PP, Sethi HN and Toncher SS. Quality and nutrient uptake by blackgram as influenced by various doses of potassium. Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2015;8(4): 732-736.

---

© 2023 Shivashankar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98304>