



## **Influence of Nutrient Management Practices on Growth, Flowering and Yield Attributes of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*)**

**Priyanka Sahu<sup>a\*#</sup>, P. Tripathy<sup>a†</sup>, G. S. Sahu<sup>a†</sup>, S. K. Dash<sup>b‡</sup>, S. K. Pattnayak<sup>c‡</sup>,  
S. Sarkar<sup>b‡</sup>, R. K. Nayak<sup>d †</sup>, N. J. Nayak<sup>a#</sup> and S. Mishra<sup>a#</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> Department of Vegetable Science, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India.

<sup>b</sup> AICRP on Vegetable Crops, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science & Agril. Chemistry, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India.

<sup>d</sup> AICRP on Micronutrients, Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, India.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i1130999

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89491>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 07 May 2022**  
**Accepted 18 July 2022**  
**Published 19 July 2022**

### **ABSTRACT**

Field experiments were conducted at AICRP on Vegetable Crops, operating under Odisha University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India during summer season of 2017 and 2018 to find out the impact of various nutrient management practices on growth, yield attributes and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Twelve nutrient management practices such as, T<sub>1</sub> (Absolute Control), T<sub>2</sub> (RDF through Fertilizer (100:60:60 NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>3</sub> (½ RDF + Biofertilizer consortia (BF) i.e., *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter* and *PSB* @ 4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1:1:1), T<sub>4</sub> (Vermicompost @ 4 tha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>5</sub> (VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs), T<sub>6</sub> (½ RDF + VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs), T<sub>7</sub> (RDF+ VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> + Biofertilizer consortia), T<sub>8</sub> (FYM @ 20 tha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>9</sub> (FYM @ 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs), T<sub>10</sub> (½ RDF + FYM @ 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs), T<sub>11</sub> (RDF+ FYM @ 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs) and T<sub>12</sub> (½ RDF + FYM @ 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> + VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> +

# PhD Scholars;

† Professor;

‡ Scientist;

‡ Emeritus Professor;

† Assoc. Prof & OIC;

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: priyanka.ouat6565@gmail.com;

BFs), were evaluated by adopting RBD replicated thrice. The pooled results over two years revealed significant variations among the nutrient management practices for all the characters under study. Invariably, INM practices recorded significantly better vegetative growth, earliness in flowering, fruit yield and yield attributing parameters over inorganic, organic sources, BFs and absolute control. The results revealed integrated application of  $\frac{1}{2}$  RDF+FYM @  $10\text{tha}^{-1}$ +VC @  $2\text{tha}^{-1}$ +BFs recorded significantly higher maximum vegetative growth parameters (*i.e.*, vine length of 296.4 m with 4.1 primary branches  $\text{vine}^{-1}$ ), induced earliness in flowering (*i.e.*, days to appearance of male flowers : 30.2, days to appearance of female flowers : 31.7, sex ratio of : 12.8, fruit yield attributing parameters (*i.e.*, fruit girth : 15.0 cm, fruits  $\text{vine}^{-1}$  : 8.6, days to 1<sup>st</sup> fruit harvest : 45.3), days to final harvest : 80.1, yield *i.e.*, marketable yield ( $12.6\text{ kg plot}^{-1}$ ,  $156.0\text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ,  $15.6\text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) and total fruit yield ( $13.9\text{ kg plot}^{-1}$ ,  $172.2\text{ q ha}^{-1}$ ,  $17.2\text{ tha}^{-1}$ ). Thus it may be concluded that integrated application of nutrients from inorganic, organic with soil inoculation of biofertilizer consortia not only increased significantly increased growth, flowering and fruit yield in cucumber.

**Keywords:** *Cucumber; RDF; FYM; vermicompost, Biofertilizer consortia; growth; flowering; fruit yield.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) belong to the family Cucurbitaceae which composed of 117 genera and 825 species spread over the tropical parts of the world [1]. Among the cultivated cucurbits, cucumber is second most widely cultivated crop in the world after watermelon [2], grown successfully in three major climates of the world as tropical, subtropical and temperate climates under both open and protected conditions. Cucumber being an important salad crop treated as rich source of vitamins (A, C, K, B<sub>6</sub>) and minerals (potassium, phosphorus, copper, magnesium, manganese) [3]. Cucumber is also used to treat against skin irritation due to presence of both ascorbic acid and caffeic acid [4]. Similarly, Hazra et al. [5] recommended versatile utility of cucumber such as pot herbs, edible seed oils etc.

Odisha produces 54.63 Million tonnes of cucumber annually with a share of 3.40% against India's production of 1260 Million tonnes from an area of and productivity of 82000 ha [6]. In India cucumber occupies an important position due to its different types of uses, nutritive value and increasing export potential.

The lower productivity of cucumber ( $15.36\text{ tha}^{-1}$ ) in India is primarily due to cultivation of low yielding varieties under unfertile soils coupled with heavy infestation of pests and diseases. It has been demonstrated that the genetic potential of a high yielding variety contributes about hardly 24% alone towards yield and other critical inputs, especially nutrients in association with water and other factors accounts for the rest [7].

Moreover, indiscriminate and over application of inorganic fertilizers without application of any

organic manure and bioactive ingredients in soil, the physical and biochemical properties of the soil has been deteriorated gradually [8]. Further, it also reduces activity of microbes in soil, decreasing humus content of soil intern increased the pollution of soil, water and air (Singh and Kallo, 2000). Under such circumstances, it is essential to develop strategies for utilizing all available nutrient resources measures and to develop crop management system for sustainable production, productivity per unit area with maintenance of soil health, which resulted in a system known as Integrated Nutrient Management System, which refers to the supply of nutrients to the plants from various nutrient resources in the soil (native) inorganic sources (primarily inorganic chemical fertilizers), organic sources (manures, crop residues and other organics) as well as bioactive microbes (Biofertilizer). Roy and Angel [9] suggested that "INM system" is a concept which aims at to maintenance or adjustment of soil fertility and plant nutrient supply to an optimum level for sustaining desirable crop productivity, high optimization of benefits from all possible sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner. Although the basic concept of INM is to efficiently utilize all sources of nutrients, but under field situations, all these components may not be available at one place. Therefore, there is need to go for "Catch and Match" system, *i.e.* application of nutrients from inorganic, organic and other bioactive microbes at a particular time at a particular place is to be produced and applied for crop production and sustainability of soil fertility as well.

Cultivation of cucumber requires fertile soils as infertile soils result in production of bitter, malformed fruits which are not accepted by the

consumers [10]. Therefore, in order to produce cucumber on a sustainable basis and to meet the production with quality fruits, other sources of nutrient inputs have to continuously explore in order to meet the desirable target. Therefore, the present investigation was conducted to investigate the efficacy of various nutrient management practices involving inorganic chemical fertilizer, organic and bioactive microbes either alone or in combination for better growth and yield in Cucumber.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at AICRP on Vegetable Crops operating under OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India during summer season of 2017 and 2018. Twelve nutrient management practices including absolute control were evaluated by adopting RBD replicated thrice. The cucumber variety, Seven Star was sown during 21.3.2017 of Summer 2017 and 2018, respectively in a plot size of 3m X 2.7m with a spacing of 1.5 m X 1 m. All the recommended package of practices were adopted uniformly except nutrient management practices, which were applied as per treatment schedule. Soil samples from the experimental plot was taken and analysed by adopting the standard procedure. Both FYM and vermicompost used in the experimental plots after proper analysis. In the experiment biofertilizer consortia, consisting of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *PSB* (1:1:1) were purchased from Department of Soil Science and Agriculture Chemistry, College of Agriculture, OUAT and used as soil inoculation. Inorganic fertilizers were applied as per treatment schedule in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP. Observations were recorded on vegetative growth, flowering parameters along with fruit yield and yield attributing parameters. Fruit yield including both marketable and total was calculated on the basis of per plot yield harvested at different phrases of cucumber as per treatment schedules. The observed data were subjected to statistical analysis [11].

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of Nutrient Management Module on Vegetative Growth Parameters

It has been established in earlier that among the vegetative parameters primarily vine length, number of primary branches vine<sup>-1</sup> and

intermodal length contribute towards enhanced growth and productivity in cucumber. In the present study conducted over two years revealed significant influence of various nutrient management practices towards vine length, number of branches vine<sup>-1</sup> and internodal length.

Significantly, highest vegetative growth parameter, i.e., vine length (296.4 cm), number of primary branches vine<sup>-1</sup> (4.1) with moderate intermodal length (7.1 cm) was produced by cucumber variety Seven Star in the plots supplied with integrated application of ½ RDF+FYM@10tha<sup>-1</sup>+VC@2tha<sup>-1</sup>+BFs as compared to their sole application from inorganic, organic and absolute control plots. However, nutrient management practices comprising of integrated approach such as RDF+F<sub>10</sub>+BFs, recorded higher vegetative growth parameters and were *statistical at par* with best module, indicating the significance of integrated nutrient management approach. This might be due to integrated application of nutrients from various sources such as inorganic fertilizers, organic manures, (FYM and/or VC) coupled with soil inoculation of biofertilizer consortia created favorable environment for growth and development of the plant with continuous supply of nutrients from various sources, especially nitrogen which in turn enhance the cell division and increased in vine growth [12,13] (Singh et al., 2018) and [14]. Further due to application of organic sources of nutrients from both FYM and/or VC, provide macro, secondary and micronutrients continuously to cucumber plots, there by increased vegetative growth. The better efficacy of organic sources on vegetative growth of cucumber have also been reported by Sallaku et al., 2007 and Sanni et al., 2015 in cucumber. The increased efficacy of integrated application of nutrients with soil inoculation of biofertilizer consortia (*Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and *PSB*) in the present study might be addition of nitrogen high *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* as well as solubilisation of unavailable phosphorus to available form by *PSB*, resulting better growth of cucumber plants. Besides this, soil inoculation with biofertilizer consortia also releases some growth promoting substances. Similar reports on efficacy of biofertilizer in vegetative growth parameter have been reported by Padmavathamma et al. [15] and Mohammed [12] in cucumber.

The study also revealed that among various organic and biofertilizer nutrient management practices evaluated over two years in cucumber,

significantly highest vegetative growth parameter like vine length at final harvest (234.4 cm) and number of primary branches vine<sup>-1</sup> (3.7) with minimum internodal length (8.8 cm) with application of FYM @ 20 tha<sup>-1</sup> over the other organic sources and absolute control. The increased vegetative growth might be due to addition of higher doses of nutrients through FYM. However, other organic sources, results showed non-significant effect on vegetative growth parameters with application of reduced doses integrated with BFs.

### 3.2 Effect of Nutrient Management Module on Flowering Behaviour

A perusal to Table 1 revealed significant variations among the nutrient management practices for flowering parameters in cucumber during both the years of study. Most of the commercially grown cucumbers including variety Seven Star are monoecious in nature, where both male and female flowers are produced in the same plant in different positions and productivity of the crop depend on percentage of sex ratio (male and female flower) along with earliness in male and female flower production. The sex expression of cucumber although genetically controlled but significantly influenced by nutrient management, water management, prevailing climate conditions and hormonal balance etc. The pooled results showed significantly better performance of cucumber variety Seven Star with respect to earliness in terms of days to appearance of 1<sup>st</sup> male flower (30.1) in RDF+VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> +BFs. However, days to appearance of 1<sup>st</sup> female flower (33.6), node number for appearance of 1<sup>st</sup> male flower (12.6), node number for appearance of 1<sup>st</sup> female flower (16.2) and above all sex ratio (male : female) (12.8) was recorded in the plots raised by integrated application of ½ RDF + FYM @ 10tha<sup>-1</sup>+ VC @ 2tha<sup>-1</sup>+ BFs than the corresponding application of inorganic, organic biofertilizer along with absolute control. However, *statistical parity* were observed for RDF + VC @ 2 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs and RDF + FYM @ 10 tha<sup>-1</sup> + BFs (14.2 each) .

The earliness in flowering behaviour in cucumber was observed in the aerial parts of the plant and environment in reproductive phase due to integration of nutrients from all possible sources. Besides, it has been demonstrated the significant

role of phosphorus towards reproductive organ including flowering where might be involvement of *PSB* in BFs [16,17]. Similar observations on better efficacy of INM treatments towards earliness in flowering behaviour have been reported in cucumber [18,19], and [Singh et al. 2017], Prasad et al. [19] in bitter gourd and Youssef and Eissa (2014) and Baghel et al. [20] in bottle gourd.

On the other hand, significantly delayed in flowering behaviour was recorded in absolute control, without any nutrients, this might be due to reduced status of nutrients restricted the vegetative growth phases, in turn delayed in flowering behaviour. Further, the production of highest sex ratio (Male; Female) in the present study might be due to production of more staminate flower with lower number of female flower production. The results are in agreement with the findings of Gill et al. [21], Singh et al. (2017) and Singh et al. [2].

The experiment also revealed better performance with respect to earliness in flowering behaviour of the cucumber plants among organic and biofertilizer nutrient management. However, significantly better flowering behaviour was recorded in plots applied with FYM 20 tha<sup>-1</sup> while lowest in absolute control. Similar observations in flowering behaviour was also suggested by Nirmala et al. [22] and Sanni et al. [23] in cucumber, while Mulani et al. [24] in bitter gourd.

### 3.3 Effect of Nutrient Management In Fruit Yield and Yield Attributing Parameters

The pooled results of two years revealed significant influence of various nutrient management practices on fruit yield and yield attributing parameters (Table 2, 3 and 4). Invariably, integrated application of ½ RDF + FYM @ 10tha<sup>-1</sup>+ VC @ 2tha<sup>-1</sup>+ Biofertilizer consortia recorded significantly better results in terms of days taken to 1<sup>st</sup> harvest (45.3), days taken to last harvest ( 80.1), fruit girth (15.1), number of fruits vine<sup>-1</sup> (8.6) as compared to sole application of nutrients with inorganic fertilizer or organic and bioactive sources including absolute control.

**Table 1. Effect of nutrient sources on vegetative parameters of cucumber variety “Seven Star”**

Treatments	Vine length at final harvest (cm)	Primary branches vine <sup>-1</sup>	Internodal length (cm)
	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)
T <sub>1</sub> Absolute Control	121.1	2.5	9.0
T <sub>2</sub> RDF	243.8	3.3	7.9
T <sub>3</sub> 1/2 RDF+ BFs	195.0	3.0	7.6
T <sub>4</sub> VC <sub>4</sub>	179.5	2.8	6.5
T <sub>5</sub> VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	196.3	2.9	6.8
T <sub>6</sub> 1/2 RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	218.4	3.4	7.2
T <sub>7</sub> RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	275.0	3.8	7.6
T <sub>8</sub> F <sub>20</sub>	234.4	3.7	8.5
T <sub>9</sub> F <sub>10</sub> + BFs	202.5	3.1	8.1
T <sub>10</sub> 1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	253.0	3.6	8.6
T <sub>11</sub> RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	292.0	4.0	7.0
T <sub>12</sub> 1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +VC <sub>2</sub> + BFs	296.4	4.1	7.1
Mean	225.6	3.34	7.65
SE(m)±	15.8	0.22	0.25
CD (5%)	49.04	0.70	0.70
CV(%)	10.0	9.0	8.0

**Table 2. Effect of nutrient sources on flowering parameters of cucumber variety “Seven Star”**

Treatments		Number of days for 1 <sup>st</sup> male flower appearance	Number of days for 1 <sup>st</sup> female flower appearance	Node bearing 1 <sup>st</sup> male flower	Node bearing 1 <sup>st</sup> female flower	Sex Ratio (Male : Female)
		Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017- 2018)	Pooled (2017- 2018)	Pooled (2017- 2018)
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control	38.1	43.6	19.0	21.0	16.2
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF	31.3	37.5	14.7	18.1	14.3
T <sub>3</sub>	1/2 RDF+ BFs	33.1	35.8	16.2	18.8	14.8
T <sub>4</sub>	VC <sub>4</sub>	34.9	38.2	14.6	18.6	14.5
T <sub>5</sub>	VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	31.7	35.0	18.3	19.0	15.0
T <sub>6</sub>	1/2 RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	31.6	34.9	14.9	18.8	14.6
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	30.1	33.7	13.6	17.2	14.2
T <sub>8</sub>	F <sub>20</sub>	31.8	36.2	14.9	18.7	14.8
T <sub>9</sub>	F <sub>10</sub> + BFs	33.3	36.5	15.5	18.3	14.7
T <sub>10</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	30.9	34.5	14.2	17.8	14.5
T <sub>11</sub>	RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	30.2	34.0	13.2	16.8	14.2
T <sub>12</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +VC <sub>2</sub> + BFs	30.2	33.6	12.6	16.2	12.8
	Mean	32.28	36.12	15.15	18.3	14.54
	SE(m)±	0.80	0.94	0.37	0.30	0.32
	CD (5%)	2.51	3.09	0.90	0.86	0.91
	CV(%)	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	6.0

**Table 3. Effect of nutrient sources on fruit yield attributing parameters of cucumber variety “Seven Star”**

Treatments		Days to 1st harvest	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits vine <sup>-1</sup>	Days to last harvest
		Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control	54.5	8.5	8.1	73.8	4.4	64.8
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF	51.1	13.9	13.2	144.3	6.5	72.2
T <sub>3</sub>	1/2 RDF+ BFs	50.7	13.7	11.8	107.5	5.5	73.3
T <sub>4</sub>	VC <sub>4</sub>	51.4	13.7	10.8	115.0	6.0	72.7
T <sub>5</sub>	VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	51.1	12.0	11.5	102.1	5.5	73.4
T <sub>6</sub>	1/2 RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	50.4	15.7	12.9	119.1	6.4	74.6
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	50.7	18.6	14.7	158.2	8.1	78.6
T <sub>8</sub>	F <sub>20</sub>	50.1	16.1	14.5	172.6	7.1	75.7
T <sub>9</sub>	F <sub>10</sub> + BFs	51.1	15.0	13.4	130.7	6.5	73.9
T <sub>10</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	48.8	16.2	13.6	156.8	7.0	72.7
T <sub>11</sub>	RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	48.3	17.6	15.0	137.0	8.2	79.1
T <sub>12</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +VC <sub>2</sub> + BFs	45.3	18.0	15.1	161.2	8.6	80.1
	Mean	50.29	14.79	12.88	131.53	6.65	74.26
	SE(m)±	0.89	0.62	0.45	6.37	0.37	0.74
	CD (5%)	2.78	1.94	1.40	19.84	1.08	2.11
	CV(%)	4.0	8.0	7.0	7.0	10.0	2.0

**Table 4. Effect of nutrient sources on fruit yield parameters of cucumber variety “Seven Star”**

Treatments		Marketable (kg/Plot)	Total Fruit Yield (kg/Plot)	Marketable (q/ha)	Total Fruit Yield (q/ha)	Marketable Fruit Yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )	Total Fruit Yield (tha <sup>-1</sup> )
		Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017- 2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017- 2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)	Pooled (2017-2018)
T <sub>1</sub>	Absolute Control	3.7	4.5	45.6	55.3	4.5	5.5
T <sub>2</sub>	RDF	8.7	9.6	107.3	119.7	10.7	11.8
T <sub>3</sub>	1/2 RDF+ BFs	7.7	8.6	94.9	106.1	9.5	10.6
T <sub>4</sub>	VC <sub>4</sub>	7.9	8.7	98.0	107.5	9.8	10.7
T <sub>5</sub>	VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	6.4	7.5	79.5	90.9	8.0	9.3
T <sub>6</sub>	1/2 RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	7.9	8.9	98.0	109.8	9.8	11.0
T <sub>7</sub>	RDF+VC <sub>2</sub> +BFs	9.7	10.8	120.3	135.1	12.0	13.3
T <sub>8</sub>	F <sub>20</sub>	9.3	10.4	114.7	127.8	11.2	12.8
T <sub>9</sub>	F <sub>10</sub> + BFs	8.3	9.3	103.0	113.5	10.3	11.4
T <sub>10</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	9.0	9.9	111.5	122.1	11.1	12.3
T <sub>11</sub>	RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +BFs	11.2	12.3	138.7	154.3	13.9	15.1
T <sub>12</sub>	1/2 RDF+F <sub>10</sub> +VC <sub>2</sub> + BFs	12.6	13.7	156.0	172.2	15.6	17.2
	Mean	8.6	9.50	105.62	117.87	10.5	11.7
	SE(m)±	0.47	0.52	5.89	6.05	1.10	0.62
	CD (5%)	1.36	1.48	16.80	17.25	1.64	1.77
	CV(%)	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0



However, the 2<sup>nd</sup> best INM practices, identified for fruit yielding attributing traits in the present study was integrated application of RDF+FYM @10 tha<sup>-1</sup>+BFs. Similarly, application of FYM @ 20tha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly heaviest fruits of 172.6 g which was *statistically at par* with T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> indicating the significance of integrated application of inorganic chemical fertilizers along with organic manures (FYM and/or VC) and biofertilizer consortia. This increased fruit yield attributing traits in cucumber might be due to creation of favourable environment for early vegetative growth and flowering which in turn produced better results in fruit yield attributing traits. Integration of plant nutrients from organic, inorganic and bioactive microbes might create balanced nutrition which accelerated the synthesis of chlorophyll and amino acids, there by translocation of photosynthates from leaves to fruits increased in fruits fruit size, number of fruits vine<sup>-1</sup> and fruit weight [25,26]. Further integration of vermicompost in INM practices in tomato Nagavallema et al. [27], Azarmi et al. [28], Prabhu et al. [29] and Bhattarai and Sapkota [30] in cucumber. Soil inoculation of BFs comprising of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* and PSB also solubilizing the unavailable phosphates to available form, in turn better growth and development of the crop. Besides, soil inoculation of BFs and PSB releases growth promoting substances which in turn increased growth, earliness in flowering, in turn enhance fruit yield attributing traits. Results of present study well corroborates with the findings of earlier scientists in cucumber [26,2,31].

On commercial scale, production of higher marketable fruit yield play vital role towards higher profit than total fruit yield. Cucumber, being primarily monoecious in sex form, hence effective pollination, fertilization, fruit set and subsequent fruit development will count towards higher marketable yield. On the other hand, production of small size, deformed fruits, bent neck fruits count towards unmarketable fruit yield, hence reduced the total yield and profit as well. Pooled results of two year revealed significant influence of nutrient management practices towards fruit yield which varied significantly from 5.5 and 4.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>1</sub>) to 17.2 and 15.6 tha<sup>-1</sup>(T<sub>12</sub>) for total and marketable fruit yield, respectively. Invariably, adoption of INM practices consisting of ½ RDF + FYM @ 10tha<sup>-1</sup>+ VC @ 2tha<sup>-1</sup>+ Biofertilizer consortia (T<sub>12</sub>) and RDF + FYM @ 10tha<sup>-1</sup>+ Biofertilizer consortia produced significantly higher total fruit yield (17.2 and 15.1 tha<sup>-1</sup>) and marketable fruit yield (15.6-

13.9 tha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively as compared to other sources of nutrients including absolute control. The results also showed increased *per-centage* of marketable fruit yield with adoption of INM treatment 90-91% (T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub> and T<sub>12</sub>) which further established the superiority of INM treatments over their sole application and absolute control.

The results of the present study also revealed that integration of plant nutrients from various sources enhanced the vegetative growth, earliness in flowering and increased fruit yield attributing traits in cucumber (Table 1, 2 and 3) which ultimately increased the fruit yield.

Significantly, highest production of marketable and total fruit yield in cucumber by T<sub>12</sub> was primarily due to balanced integration of nutrients resulting higher yield. Further presence of vermicompost @ 2tha<sup>-1</sup> might be attributed increase the quality of nutrients for longer period and continuous supply of nutrients. This might have attributed more availability and subsequent nutrient uptake by the crop, thus increasing fruit yield. Similarly slow and steady release of macro, secondary and micronutrients from organic sources (FYM and/or VC) might have increased the food reserves for the developing sink and better performing towards the developing fruits [32,20]. In addition to inorganic, organic sources of nutrients, soil inoculation of biofertilizer consortia further added nitrogen from atmosphere by fixation and solubilisation of insoluble phosphates to soluble form along with release of plant growth promoting substances enhanced the growth and fruit yield. Similar results of increased fruit yield by adoption of integrated application of nutrients with inorganic, organic and biological sources have been reported by Triveni et al. [33] in bitter gourd, while Eifediyi and Remison [25] and Moharana et al. [23] in cucumber [34].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It may be concluded from the present study that integrated application of nutrients with inorganic chemical fertilizers, organic measures (FYM and/or VC) coupled with soil inoculation with biofertilizer consortia comprising of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and PSB 1:1:1 not only increased the vegetative growth but also induced earliness in flowering and fruit yield in cucumber.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All the authors acknowledge the facilities provided by AICRP on Vegetable Crops and

Department of Vegetable science, CA, OUAT, Bhubaneswar towards successful completion of the investigation.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Gopalkrishnan TR. Cucurbits. In: Vegetable crops. New Delhi: New India Publishing House. 2007:103.
- Singh J, Singh MK, Kumar M, Kumar V, Singh KP, Omid AQ. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, flowering and yield attributes of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(4):567-72.
- Vimala P, Ting CC, Salbiah H, Ibrahim B, Ismail L. Biomass production and nutrient yields of cucumber. J Trop Agric Food Sci. 1999;27:47-55.
- Okonmah LU. 2011. Effects of different types of staking and their cost effectiveness on the growth, yield and yield components of cucumber. *Cucumis sativus* L.), International Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 1(5):290-295.
- Hazra P, Chattopadhyay A, Karmakar K, Dutta S. Cucurbits. In: Modern technology in vegetable production. New India Publishing Agency. New Delhi: Pitam Pura. 2011:226-48.
- National Horticulture Board. Indian Horticulture Database. 2018: 10.
- Sankarana S. Soil fertility management for reconciling sustainability with productivity. J Ind Soc Soil Sci. 1996;44(4): 593-600.
- Kumar M, Chaudhary V, Naresh RK, Maurya OP, Pal SL. Dose integrated sources of nutrients enhanced growth, yield, quality and soil fertility of vegetable crops? Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(6):125-55.
- Roy NK, Ange AL. Integrated plant nutrition systems and sustainable agriculture proc. FAI annual seminar. In: New Delhi: Food Allergy Initiative; 1991.
- Olalekan O. Growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as influenced by complementary use of organic sources and mineral fertilizer in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. Int J Agric Environ Biores. 2017; 2(4):14-24.
- Gomez AK, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for Agriculture Research. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 1996.
- Mohammed WFHI. ISRO J Agric Veterinary Sci. 2017. effect of bio-organic fertilization in some nutrients availability, growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.);10(10):13-7.
- Ghayal RG, Vaidya KP, Dademal AA. Effect of different organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in lateritic soil of Konkan (M.S.). Int J Chem Stud. 2018;6(2):3452-4.
- Singh J, Singh MK, Kumar M, Gupta A, Singh KP. Growth, yield and quality parameters of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as influenced by integrated nutrient management application. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9(10):1455-62. doi: 10.20546/ijcmas.2020.910.173.
- Padmavathiamma PK, Li LY, Kumari UR. An experimental study of vermi-bio-waste composting for agricultural soil improvement. Bioresour Technol. 2008;99(6):1672-81.
- Vishwakarma SK, Gautam DS, Yadav NS, Gautam SS. 2007. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth, yield and quality of spine gourd (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). Technoframe-A Journal of multidisciplinary Advance Research, 119-23.
- Anjanappa M, Kumara BS, Indiresh KM. Growth, yield and quality attributes of cucumber (cv. Hassan Local) as influenced by integrated nutrient management grown under protected conditions. Mysore J Agric Sci. 2012;46(1):32-7.
- Umamaheswarappa P, Gowda VN, Murthy PV, Muthu MP. Effect of varied levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on flowering, fruit set and sex ratio of cucumber. Karnataka J Agric Sci. 2005;18(3):744-7.
- Prasad PH, Mandal AR, Sarkar A, Thapa U, Maithy TK. Effect of bio-fertilizers and nitrogen on growth and yield attributes of bittergourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Int J Farm Sci. 2009;5(1):24-9.
- Chouhan KS, Baghel SS, Mishra K, Singh AK, Singh V. Assessment of integrated nutrient management in yield, quality and economics of Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Agric Update. 2017;12(Special-7):1978-82.
- Gill J, Dhillon WS, Gill PPS, Singh N. Fruit set and quality improvement studies on

- bitter gourd. Indian J Hortic. 2012;69(1): 39-44.
22. Nirmala R, Vadivel E, Azakiamanavalan RS. Influence of organic manures on fruit characters and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* Linn.) cv. Local. S Indian Hortic. 1999;47(1):65-8.
  23. Sanni KO, Ewulo BS, Godonu KG, Animashaun MO. Effect of nutrient sources on the growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) and soil properties in Ikorodu Agro- Ecological zone. Report and opinion. 2015;7(4):24-32.
  24. Mulani TG, Musmade AM, Kadu PP, Mangave KK. Phule green Gold. J Soils Crops. 2007. effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) cv;17(2):258-61.
  25. Eifediyi EK, Remison SU. Growth and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) as influenced by farmyard manure and inorganic fertilizer. J Plant Breed Crop Sci. 2010;2(7):216-20.
  26. Moharana DP, Mohan L, Singh BK, Singh AK, Kumar H, Mahapatra AS. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth and yield attributes of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) cv. Swarna Ageti under polyhouse conditions. The Bioscane. 2017; 12(1):305-8.
  27. Nagavallema KP, Wani SP, Lacroix S, Padmaja VV, Vineela C, Babu Rao M et al. Vermicomposting: recycling wastes into valuable organic fertilizer. Global Theme on Agroecosystems Report no. 8. Patancheru 502324. Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. 2004:20.
  28. Azarmi R, Giglou MT, Hajieghrari B. The effect of sheep-manure vermicompost on quantitative and qualitative properties of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown in the greenhouse. Afr J Biotechnol. 2009;8(19):4953-7.
  29. Prabhu M, Natarajan S, Srinivasan K, Pugalendh L. Integrated nutrient management in cucumber. Indian J Agric Res. 2006;40(2):123-6.
  30. Bhattarai BP, Sapkota B. Effect of organic nutrients management on yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) and its residual effect on soil. Int J Agric Environ Res. 2016;2(6):1768-76.
  31. Dash SK, Sahu GS, Das S, Sarkar S, Tripathy L, Pradhan SR et al. Yield improvement in cucumber through integrated nutrient management practices in coastal plain zone of Odisha, India. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(2):2480-8.
  32. Patil MB, Mohammed RG, Ghade PM. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of tomato. J Maharashtra Agric Univ. 2004;29:124-7.
  33. Thriveni V, Mishra HN, Pattanayak SK, Sahoo GS, Thomson T. Effect of inorganic, organic fertilizers and bio-fertilizers on growth, flowering, yield and quality attributes of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Int J Farm Sci. 2015;5(1):24-9.
  34. Aiyelaagbe IO, Adegbite IA, Adedokun TA. Response of cucumber to composted city refuse in south-western Nigeria. Proceedings. Afr Crop Sci Conference. 2007;8:333-7.

© 2022 Sahu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89491>