



## **Coprolites Production of Native Earthworms in Braquiaria Fields Under Biofertilization**

**Murielle Magda Medeiros Dantas<sup>1\*</sup>, José Flavio Cardoso Zuza<sup>2</sup>,  
Josinaldo da Silva Henrique<sup>1</sup>, Adailza Guilherme Cavalcante<sup>3</sup>,  
Joaquim Emanuel Fernandes Gondim<sup>4</sup>, Ana Carolina Bezerra<sup>4</sup>  
and Manoel Alexandre Diniz Neto<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Agriculture, Federal University of Paraíba, Bananeiras, Paraíba, Brazil.*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Soil, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Plant Science, Paulista State University, Jaboticabal, São Paulo, Brazil.*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Agriculture, Federal University of Paraíba, Areia, Brazil.*

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors MAMD, JFCZ and JSH managed the analyses of the study, performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors JEFG and ACB managed the literature searches. Author MADN designed the study and wrote the protocol. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2019/v40i430371

#### Editor(s):

- (1) Dr. Mohammad Reza Naroui Rad, Department of Horticulture Crops Research, Sistan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, Iran.
- (2) Süleyman Korkut, Professor, Duzce University, Department of Forest Industrial Engineering, Division of Wood Mechanic and Technology, Turkey.
- (3) Dr. Daniele De Wrachien, Full Professor of Irrigation and Drainage, State University of Milan, Italy.

#### Reviewers:

- (1) Paola Tayed Vázquez Villegas, Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Cintalapa, México.
- (2) Rahim Foroughbakhch, University of Nuevo Leon, Mexico.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49931>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 22 June 2019**  
**Accepted 30 August 2019**  
**Published 13 September 2019**

### **ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the coprolite production of native earthworms in a pasture with Brachiaria, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experiment was carried out between April of 2014 and August of 2015 at the Centro de Ciências Agrárias da Universidade Federal da Paraíba – UFPB.

**Methodology:** A randomized complete block experimental design was used, with subdivided plots and four replicates, with a total of 40 plots in a 5x3x2 factorial arrangement, five grass species (*Brachiaria brizantha*, *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola*, *B. ruziziensis* e *B. brizantha* MG5) and three

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [murielle.medeiros55@gmail.com](mailto:murielle.medeiros55@gmail.com);

sampling times, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization. The plot area was composed of 50.0 m<sup>2</sup> (10 m x 5 m) with subplots of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> (0.5 m x 0.5 m). Six foliar fertilization were performed in intervals of fifteen days, with three applications in the drought period and three applications in the rainy season. Each application consisted of 5% of biofertilizer (100 mL of biofertilizer diluted in 2 L of water), each plot received 2 L of biofertilizer.

**Results:** In the dry season there was a significant difference in the means between the fertilization treatments, leading to the absence of fertilization, obtaining a better result, varying of 48, 24% in relation to the treatments that received fertilization, and there was no significant difference between the brachiaria. In the rainy season, it was verified that there was no significant difference in the means between the fertilization treatments, but there was a significant difference between the brachiaria.

**Conclusion:** The study concludes that earthworm coprolites production is higher under pasture with B. MG5 during the dry season. In the rainy season, production increased under pasture with *B. humidicola*. Brachiaria fertilized with liquid-enriched biofertilizer provided lower results in the production of biogenic aggregates (earthworm coprolites). Under conditions of the present study, the hypothesis that the effect of the liquid -enriched biofertilizer increases the production of endogeic earthworm coprolites has not been proven.

*Keywords: Organic fertilization; soil biology; soil fertility' production; earthworms; pasture.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Earthworms are among the organisms that compose the macrofauna, these organisms are one of the most important invertebrates of ecosystems and agroecosystems around the world [1,2]. They are considered engineers of the ecosystem with a large impact on the soil structure [3]. Earthworms are estimated to be responsible for about 40% to 90% of the biomass of edaphic macrofauna in most tropical ecosystems. They participate in the incorporation and decomposition of organic matter present in the soil when they ingest the organic matter added to the inorganic matter of the soil, which passes through the intestinal tract and then is excreted as coprolites (biogenic aggregates), all these factors contribute to the soil quality improvement, since they are directly linked with particle aggregation and nutrient availability [4,5,6].

Coprolites contain calcium humate, which together with the calcium released by the calciferous glands, serves as an aggregating element to the soil particles [7]. In addition, they contain large amounts of nutrients, due to the addition of organic matter and urinary and intestinal secretions that forms a homogeneous and rich structure, the movement of organic matter and mineral components through the digestive tract of earthworms is subjected to enzymatic processes and break downs, which increases soil fertilization [8].

The insertion of earthworm coprolites in crop cultivation guarantees not only superior plant

nutrition but also the substrate quality when incorporated, in addition, the low cost of this input makes its use viable [9]. Several studies have pointed out the importance of vermicomposting for agricultural production, especially with regard to the improvement of commercial crops and pastures [10,11,12,13,14]. However, it is not known how the application of biofertilizer and seasonality can affect the production of coprolites in a native pasture environment.

The objective of this study was to analyze the production of "geophagous" native earthworm coprolites in a Yellow Oxisol area, in the city of Areia-PB, under the pastures of Brachiaria grasses, with and without liquid-enriched biofertilizer.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out between April of 2014 and August of 2015 in the experimental station "Chã do Jardim", at the Centro de Ciências Agrárias of the Universidade Federal da Paraíba - UFPB, Areia - PB. The soil of the experimental area is classified as Yellow Oxisol, deep, well-drained and sand-clay texture [15].

A randomized complete block design was used, with subdivided plots and four replicates, with a total of 40 plots (Fig. 1). The factorial arrangement used was 5 x 3 x 2, with five species of grasses (*Brachiaria brizantha*, *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola*, *B. rrizizensis* *B. brizantha* MG5), three sampling times in with and without liquid-enriched biofertilization.



**Fig. 1. Experimental area: Arrangement of plots and subplots for application of treatments with and without organic fertilizer, Chã do Jardim, Areia – PB**

The plot area had 50.0 m<sup>2</sup> (10.0 m x 5.0 m) with subplots of 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> (0.5 m x 0.5 m). Six biweekly applications via foliar fertilizations were performed, with three applications in the drought season and three applications in the rainy season. Each application consisted of 5% of biofertilizer (100 mL of biofertilizer diluted in 2L of water).

Coprolites produced by native "geophagous" earthworms were manually collected over a period of eight months, in a 45-day time span, between October of 2014 and January of 2015 (drought period) and from May to August of 2015 (rainy season). Six collections were carried out in

the experimental area. For the collection, an iron square was randomly placed in the plots, three replications per subplot were used. The collected material was placed in properly labeled containers and sent for chemical analysis in the Laboratório de Solos do Centro de Ciências Humanas, Sociais e Agrárias da Universidade Federal da Paraíba, Bananeiras- PB, following the methodological procedures of EMBRAPA [15].

Data were submitted to analysis of variance, using the ASSISTAT 7.7 software and means compared by the Tukey test at 5% probability [16].



**Fig. 2. Collection of biogenic aggregates (native earthworm coprolites), using a iron square in areas under grass pasture of Brachiaria genus, Chã do Jardim, Areia-PB**

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Significant differences were observed between the fertilization treatments in the first sampling (Table 1), leading to better results in the treatments with no fertilization, which varied from 48, 24% compared to the treatments with fertilization, with no difference observed between the *Brachiaria* species. It was verified that in this case, the production of biogenic structures (coprolites) was higher without the application of the biofertilizer. Regarding the five *Brachiaria* under the presence and absence of fertilization, *B. MG5* varied with 28.3% when compared to the production of *B. Ruzienses*. This can be explained by the fact that *B. MG5* has higher production of dry matter, drought resistance, rapid regrowth after grazing and better tolerance to poorly drained soils when compared to the other species. In the second and third sampling (Table 1), there were no significant differences between the fertilization treatments, nor between the *Brachiaria* species.

During the sampling time, the drought season had higher air temperature and lower soil moisture which contributed to the low density of the number of organisms, and, consequently, reduced the coprolites production. In this sense, Kanianska et al. [12], found that there are correlations between earthworm activity and abiotic factors such as humidity, light, and temperature, which, in many cases, shape the supply of these ecosystem services played by these organisms. Saha et al. [17] reported that seasonal variation associated with habitat played important roles in the distribution and abundance of various earthworm species, where seasonal parameters such as rainfall, relative humidity, air temperature, soil temperature, and solar radiation influenced on fluctuations in population densities. Sales [18] observed that in the dry season the soil is more resistant to the deformations caused by the movement of earthworms since a costly effort would be necessary to move through the soil profile. In a study on earthworms in temperate areas, Ortiz-Gamino et al. [19], points out that along an altitudinal gradient, the climate can act as a barrier to the distribution of earthworms, and its abundance occurs in a significant way through soil fertility and pasture quality.

As observed in Table 2, there was an increase in coprolite production during the rainy season

when compared to the drought season due to higher humidity and lower temperatures, which provides better soil moisture and creates favorable conditions for the activities in the form of biogenic aggregates. The difference between the two collection seasons is due to the ease movement capacity that the earthworm has inside the soil, provided by the moisture content of the soil in the rainy season, thus facilitating the feeding, constituted of organic compounds. According to Silva et al. [20], some earthworm species such as *A. duseni* (endogeic), reach the soil surface only after significant rainfall.

In the second sampling (Table 2), no significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) were observed between the fertilization treatments, but significant differences between the *Brachiaria* species were observed. The coprolite production in *B. humidiculus* increased to  $3.32 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ , 50.90% higher when compared to *B. decumbens* with  $2.20 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  of coprolite production. However, there were no significant differences between *B. decumbens*, *MG5*, *B. humidicola* and *B. ruzienses*. In the third sampling, the coprolites production was not influenced by the treatments with fertilization, significant differences between the *Brachiaris* were observed, with higher coprolites production in pasture with *B. brizantha* ( $2.62 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$ ), which did not differ from *B. decumbens*, *B. humidicola* and *B. ruzienses*. *Brachiaria* has higher root biomass, a root system that aggregates the soil particles and provides conditions for the development of earthworms, which work in the soil and excrete in the form of coprolites. Fiuza et al. [21] emphasized the importance of earthworms in the growth of grasses such as maize, according to the authors the presence of earthworms of the genus *Chibui bari* favored the growth in stem diameter and increased the shoot and total dry matter of the plant, in addition, it was observed that the coprolites were sufficient for the supply of N to plants at levels equivalent to those of NPK amounts. According to Silva et al. [22], the addition of earthworm coprolites to dystrophic soils increased the growth of cabbage plants, especially in concentrations higher than 70% of the volumetric composition of the substrate. This phenomenon did not occur in the present study, where the production of earthworm coprolites did not depend on the addition of biofertilizer, the soil itself was able to supply the nutrients necessary for coprolites production.

**Table 1. Coprolites production of native earthworms under Brachiaria pastures during the drought season**

Brachiaria	1 <sup>st</sup> Sampling		Means
	Fertilized	Non-fertilized	
-----t ha <sup>-1</sup> -----			
Decumbens	1.06	1.47	1.26 a
Brizantha	1.18	1.51	1.35 a
Humidicola	0.96	1.65	1.30 a
MG5	1.26	1.94	1.60 a
Ruzizienses	1.22	1.88	1.55 a
Mean	1.14 B	1.69 A	-
2 <sup>nd</sup> Sampling			
Decumbens	0.75	1.00	0.87 a
Brizantha	1.15	0.98	1.06 a
Humidicola	0.94	1.11	1.02 a
MG5	1.37	1.33	1.35 a
Ruzizienses	0.89	1.06	0.97 a
Mean	1.02 A	1.09 A	-
3 <sup>rd</sup> Sampling			
Decumbens	0.30	0.44	0.37 a
Brizantha	0.58	0.51	0.55 a
Humidicola	0.43	0.54	0.48 a
MG5	0.55	0.49	0.52 a
Ruzizienses	0.50	0.47	0.48 a
Mean	0.47 A	0.49 A	-

**Table 2. Coprolites production of native earthworms under Brachiaria pastures during the rainy season**

Brachiaria	1 <sup>st</sup> Sampling		Means
	Fertilized	Non-fertilized	
-----t ha <sup>-1</sup> -----			
Decumbens	1.01	0.96	0.98 a
Brizantha	1.28	1.12	1.20 a
Humidicola	0.99	1.01	1.00 a
MG5	1.40	1.68	1.54 a
Ruzizienses	0.74	1.30	1.02 a
Mean	1.08 A	1.21 A	-
2 <sup>nd</sup> Sampling			
Decumbens	2.07	2.33	2.20 ab
Brizantha	2.10	1.60	1.85 b
Humidicola	2.99	3.65	3.32 a
MG5	0.77	1.74	1.26 b
Ruzizienses	1.71	1.47	1.59 b
Mean	1.93 A	2.16 A	-
3 <sup>rd</sup> Sampling			
Decumbens	2.10	2.98	2.54 a
Brizantha	2.88	2.36	2.62 a
Humidicola	1.79	2.90	2.34 ab
MG5	1.15	1.32	1.23 b
Ruzizienses	1.08	1.83	1.46 ab
Mean	1.80 A	2.28 A	-

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that earthworm coprolites production is higher under pasture with B. MG5 during the dry season. In the rainy season, production increased under pasture with *B. humidicula*. Brachiaria fertilized with liquid-enriched biofertilizer provided lower results in the production of biogenic aggregates (earthworm coprolites). Under conditions of the present study, the hypothesis that the effect of the liquid-enriched biofertilizer increases the production of endogeic earthworm coprolites has not been proven.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Rai SN. Earthworm biodiversity in different land use system. International Journal Research Granthaalayah. 2017;5:347-352.
- Santos JB, Ramos AC, Azevedo Júnior A, Oliveira Filho LCI. Soil macrofauna in organic and conventional coffee plantations in Brazil. Biota Neotrópica. 2018;18(2):1-13.
- Blouin ME, Hodson EA, Delgado G, Baker L, Brussaard KR, Butt J, Dai L, Dendooven G, Perez JE, Tondoh D, Cluzeau, Brun JJ. A review of earthworm impact on soil function and ecosystem services. European Journal of Soil Science. 2013;64: 161-182.
- Bartz MLC, Costa ACS, Tormena CA, Souza Júnior IG, Brown GG. Sobrevivência, produção e atributos químicos de coprólitos de minhocas em um Latossolo Vermelho distroférico (Oxisol) sob diferentes sistemas de manejo. Acta Zoológica Mexicana. 2010;8:261-280.
- Bertrand M, Barot S, Blouin M, Whalen J, Oliveira T, Roger-Estrade J. Earthworm services for cropping systems. A review. Agronomy Sustainable Development. 2016;35(2):553-567.
- Lavelle P, Spain A, Blouin M, Brown G, Decaën T, Grimaldi M, Jimenez JJ, Mckey C, Mathieu J, Velasquez E, Zangerlé A. Ecosystem engineers in a self-organized soil: A review of concepts and future research questions. Soil Science. 2016;181:91-109.
- Edwards CA, Bohlen J. The influence of environmental factor on earthworms. In: Biology an ecology of earthworms. 3. Ed. London: Chapman & Hall. 1996;134-154.
- Rodrigues VC, Theodoro VCA, Andrade IF, Inácio Neto A, Rodrigues VN, Alves FV. Produção de minhocas e composição mineral do vermicomposto e das fezes procedentes de bubalinos e bovinos. Ciência Agrotécnica. 2003;27(6):1409-1418.
- Martins FJR, Targino VA, Neto MAD, Teixeira EO, Zuza JFC. Teores de cálcio e fósforo no solo e em coprólitos de minhocas nativas sob um agroecossistema de girassol. (I CONPLICA II SIMLICA).
- Van Groenigen JW, Lubber IM, Vos HMJ, Brown GG, Deyn GB, Van Groenigen KJ. Earthworms increase plant production: A meta-analysis. Scientific Reports; 2014. DOI: 10.1038/srep06365
- Zagatto MRG, Niva CC, Thomazini MJ, Barreta D, Santos A, Cardoso GBX, Brown GG. Soil invertebrates in different land use systems: How integrated production systems and seasonality affect soil Mesofauna communities. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2017;7:158-169.
- Kanianska R, Ová JJU, Makovniková J, Kizeková M. Assessment of relationships between earthworms and soil abiotic and biotic factors as a tool in sustainable agricultural. Sustainability. 2016;8:1-13.
- Senthil V, Sivakami R. An analysis of the biodiversity of earthworms in three locations around Tiruchirappalli, Tamil Nadu, India. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(9):3195-3199.
- Frazão J, Goede RGM, Salánki TE, Brussaard L, Faber JH, Hedde M, Pulleman MM. Responses of earthworm communities to waste management after inoculation of the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* (Linnaeus, 1758). Applied Soil Ecology, In Press; 2019.
- EMBRAPA. Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. 2ª edição. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de solos. 2013;306.
- Silva FS, Azevedo CAV. Versão do programa computacional ASSISTAT para o sistema operacional Windows. Revista Brasileira de Produtos Agroindustriais. 2002;4(1):71-78.

17. Saha AK, Haque MF, Rahman MM. Seasonal abundance of earthworms in different habitats of Rajshahi University and Rajshahi Sugar Mill Areas. Bangladesh Journal Zoology. 2009;37(1): 69-74.
18. Sales GPS. Produção de coprólitos de minhocas nativas em Latossolo Amarelo sob pastagem de Braquiárias. [Dissertação]. Areia (BR): Universidade Federal da Paraíba; 2013.
19. Ortiz-Gamino D, Perez-Rodriguez P, Ortiz Ceballos AI. Invasion of tropical earthworm (Rhinodrilidae, Oligochaeta) *Pontoscolex corethrurus* in temperate grasslands. Peer J.; 2016.  
DOI: 10.7717/peerj.2572
20. Silva E, Lima OG, Andrade DP, Brown GG. Earthworm populations in forestry plantations (*Araucaria angustifolia*, *Pinus elliottii*) and Native Atlantic forest in Southern Brazil compared using two sampling methods. Pedobiology-Journal of Soil Ecology. 2019;72:1-7.
21. Fiuza DTF, Kusdra, JF, Fiuza SS. Crescimento do milho em solo sob atividade de Chibui Bari (Oligochaeta: Glossoscolecidae). Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo. 2012;36(2):359-366.
22. Silva SS, Araújo Neto SE, Kusdra JF, Ferreira RLF. Produção orgânica de mudas de couve-manteiga em substratos à base de coprólitos de minhocas. Revista Caatinga. 2007;20(4):78-83.

© 2019 Dantas et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49931>